

Journal Home Page Available: <u>https://ijeab.com/</u> Journal DOI: <u>10.22161/ijeab</u>



Peer Reviewed

The Performance and Haematological Indices of Broiler Chickens Fed Chromium Propionate, and Vitamin E Supplemented Diets

Oluwafolaranmi Segun Omoleye¹, Francis Bosede Adebayo¹, Olufemi Adesanya Adu¹, Clifford Adinma Chineke¹, Samuel Adebowale Adeyeye², Olugbenga David Oloruntola³, and Simeon Olugbenga Ayodele⁴

¹Department of Animal Production and Health, The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.
²Department of Animal Health and Production, The Federal College of Agriculture, Akure, Nigeria.
³Department of Animal Science, Adekunle Ajasin University, AkungbaAkoko, Nigeria
⁴Department of Agricultural Technology, The Federal Polytechnic, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria.
Correspondence address email; omoleyeso@futa.edu.ng

Received: 25 Oct 2021; Received in revised form: 01 Dec 2021; Accepted: 07 Dec 2021; Available online: 17 Dec 2021 ©2021 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract— Aims: This study investigates the out-turn of Chromium Propionate (CrProp) and vitamin E dietary supplementation on broiler chickens' performance characteristics and haematological indices.

Study Design: The completely randomised design was used for this study.

Methodology: Six hundred- and forty-day-old Cobb 500 broiler chickens were randomly assigned to eight dietary treatments (10 birds/replicate). A basal diet was fractionated into eight equal parts and labelled diets 1 to 8. Diets 1 to 4 were supplemented with 0, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 mg/kg CrProp, respectively. The diets 5 to 8 were supplemented with 200 mg/kg vitamin E; 0.4 mg CrProp+200 mg vitamin C; 0.8 mg CrPro+200 mg vitamin E and 1.2 mg CrPro+200 mg Vitamin E, respectively.

Results: The final body weight (FBW) of the birds fed diets 2, 3, 4,7 and 8 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those fed the control diet and diet 5 and 6, and total weight gain (TWG) of the birds fed diets 2,4,7and 8 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those fed the control and diet 3,5,6. The CrProp supplementation at 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 mg/kg levels improved (P<0.05) the FBW, and supplementation at 0.4 and 1.2mg/kg levels improved (P<0.05) the TWG of the birds, compared to the control. The vitamin E supplementation (200mg/kg) does not improve (P>0.05) the FBW and TWG of the birds. The haematological indices showed a significant difference (P<0.05) across the diets. However, supplementation of CrProp at 0.8mg/kg affects MCV, WBC, Heterocyte and lymphocyte count, whileat 1.2mg/kg, the MCHC value was significantly affected. Including vitamin E increased(P<0.05) heterocyte count, while supplementation at 0.8mg/kg and 200mg/kg vitamin E improves WBC and lymphocyte counts. The packed cell volume improved significantly by CrProp supplementation at 1.2mg/kg and 200mg/kg vitamin E.

Conclusion: The growth of the broiler chicken is enhanced by 1.2 mg/kg CrProp, 200 mg/kg vitamin E and a combination of CrPropand vitamin E dietary supplementations with significant changes in haematological indices of the birds.

Keywords—Avian, blood, chromium, growth, supplements, Vitamin E.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors OSO, OAA and CAC designed the study. Authors OAA and FBA performed the statistical analysis. Authors OSO, OAA and FBA wrote the protocol. Authors OSO and FBA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors managed the analyses of the study. Authors OSO, OAA, FBA and SOA organised the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change can cause severe damage tofood production of animal origin for human consumption (Wang et al., 2017). Heat stress is considered one of the most critical environmental stressors that cause poor performance, imbalance of the oxidant/antioxidant system, and compromised immune and health statuses in egglaying birds worldwide, namely, the poultry industry (Luo et al., 2018; Sahin et al., 2018). The tropical regions with high ambient temperature and humidity were more susceptible to high heat stress than the polar or temperate regions [Zhao et al. 2015]. Besides, meteorological factors such as temperature and humidity are significant factors that influencedomestic birds' production performance and haematological parameters [Ayo et al., 2011]. Notably, in Nigeria, the high environmental temperature may be responsible for reduced performance and increased mortality (Oguntunji and Alabi 2010; Yousaf et al., 2019), and the provision of protection against heat which is usually in temporary light shades and radiation shield is usually inadequate [Ayo et al. 1996].

Feed consumption during heat stress is suppressed, leading to reduced nutrient intake (Khan *et al.* 2014). Increased mineral excretion from the body and decreases in the blood is significant consequence of heat stress, leading to deficiencies of these components in blood and tissues (Sahin *et al.*, 2009). Heat stress may also increase the mortality rate, leading to economic losses (Khan *et al.*, 2011). However, the bodyweight of the broiler chickens raised under the heat-stressed environment is improved with dietary supplements and antioxidants [Zhao et al., 2015; Donkoh, 1989].

Presently, Chromium (Cr) is not yet generally considered an essential microelement for poultry. Still, it is thought that this trace element may play a beneficial nutritional and physiological role [Ognik*et al.*, 2019]. Cr plays an essential role in the activation of certain enzymes and in stabilising the protein and nucleic acid [Ognik*et al.* 2019]. Khan et al. [2014] had earlier reported improved weight gain and reduced stress reactions in birds fed diets supplemented with Chromium. Despite these reported potentials of dietary Cr in poultry production, the National Research Council has not given its dietary inclusion recommended levels in poultry. Besides the beneficial effects of Cr, there is also a need for studies on the potentially toxic impact of wrong or inappropriate dosage in poultry. In addition, when combined with other antioxidants (e.g. vitamin E), Cr was reported to improve the stress influenced performance characteristics in laying chickens [Torki et al., 2017]. Vitamin E (VE) is a lipidsoluble antioxidant composed of eight compounds of similar structure, four tocopherols and four tocotrienols (TT) derivatives including α -, β -, γ - and δ -tocopherol and α -, β -, γ - and δ -tocotrienol. It is primarily bound to the hydrophobic interior of the cell membrane. It offers protection against injurious membrane oxidation by free radical scavenging [Birbenet al. 2012] by donating an electron to lipid peroxidation products [Spiteller, 2006]. Vitamin E supplementation reduces the respiratory quotient in heat-stressed broiler chickens by supporting or enhancing increased fatty acid oxidation over the increase in protein-derived gluconeogenesis (Lin et al., 2006)].

Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate the effects of dietary supplemental Chromium Propionate (CrProp) and vitamin E on the performance characteristics and haematological indices of broiler chickens.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This feeding trial was carried out at the Avian Unit of The Federal University of Technology, Akure (FUTA) Teaching and Research Farm (TRF), during the peak of the dry season (i.e. between January and February 2020). The experimental pen's daily temperature-humidity index (THI) was $34.08^{\circ}C\pm1.36$. The THI was calculated (Tao and Xin, 2003) using the formula: THI= $0.85^{*}T_{db}+0.15^{*}T_{wb}$ Where $T_{db} = dry$ bulb temperature (°C); T_{wb} = wet bulb temperature (°C).

2.1 Chromium Propionate and Vitamin E Source

The Chromium Propionate powder (purity level = 98%) was manufactured by Chemlock Nutrition Corporation (Cincinnati, OH, USA.), which provides 0.4% Cr. The L-alpha-tocopherol powder (purity level = 100% pure (USP/FCC grade) was manufactured by the Burgoyne Burbidges& Co (Supplies and Services) Limited, England.

2.2 Experimental Diets and Animals

A basal diet each was prepared for the starter (age 1-3 weeks) and the finisher (age 4-6 weeks) phases (Table 1) and analysed for proximate composition [AOAC.1995].

The basal diets were sundered equally into eight parts and labelled diets 1to 8 and supplemented as follows:

Treatment	Chromium source	Levels of Chromium	Level of Vitamin E	
T1	Control	Basal diet+ Nil (Control)	Nil	
T2	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet +0.4mg/kg	Nil	
Т3	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet + 0.8mg/kg	Nil	
T4	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet + 1.2mg/kg	Nil	
T5	Chromium Propionate	Basal + Nil	200mg	
T6	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet +0.4mg/kg	200mg	
Τ7	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet +0.8mg/kg	200mg	
Τ8	Chromium Propionate	Basal diet +1.2mg/kg	200mg	

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets

Ingredients (%)	Starter feed	Finisher diet
Maize	52.35	59.35
Rice bran	0.00	6.00
Maize bran	7.00	0.00
Soybean meal	30.00	24.00
Soy oil	3.00	3.00
Fish meal	3.00	3.00
Limestone	0.50	0.50
Bone meal	3.00	3.00
Salt	0.30	0.30
Premix	3.00	3.00
Methionine	0.30	0.30
Lysine	0.25	0.25
Nutrient composition (%)		
*Crude protein	22.18	20.03
Metabolizable energy (Kcal/kg)	3018.89	3108.10
Methionine	0.68	0.66
Lysine	1.36	1.24
Available phosphorus	0.45	0.33
Calcium	1.01	0.99

2.3 Growth Performance

The body weights of the broiler chickens were measured on a weekly interval. The body weight gain was calculated by subtracting the birds' initial body weight from their final body weight and the initial body weight. The feed intake was also estimated by subtracting the quantity of feed given from the feed leftover. The total weight gain(TWG) was calculated by subtracting the initial weight from the final body weight.

2.4 Blood Sample Collection and Analysis

On day 42 of the experiment, three birds per replicate were randomly chosen, labelled, and phlebotomised with a syringe and needle via the wing vein. About 4 ml of blood was pass Out into Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid bottles for haematological indices examination. The haematological studies were performed within 120 minutes post bleeding [Shastry., 1983]; for red blood cells (RBC), packed cell volume (PVC), haemoglobin concentration (Hbc), White blood cells (WBC), granulocytes (GRA), lymphocytes (LYM) and monocytes (MON).

2.5 Data Analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance from the General Linear Model stratagem for complete randomised design with 4 CrProp levels x 2 Vitamin Elevels factorial setting of treatments. The data were checked for CrProp, Vitamin Eand interaction of CrProp with Vitamin E. When the treatment out-turn was significant (P<0.05), means were differentiated using Duncan's multiple range test using SPSS version 28.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The final body weight (FBW) of the birds fed diets 2, 3, 4,7 and 8 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those fed the control diet and diet 5 and 6, and total weight gain (TWG) of the birds fed diets 2,4,7and 8 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those fed the control and diet 3,5,6. The improved final weight recorded in the broiler chickens fed diet 2 (0.4 mg/kg Cr Prop) , diet 3 (0.8 mg/kg Cr Prop), diet 4(1.2 mg/kg Cr Pro) diet 7 (0.8 mg/kg Cr Prop+200 mg/kg vitamin E) and diet 8 (1.2 mg/kg Cr Prop+200 mg/kg vitamin E), compared to those fed the control diet and diet 5 (200 mg/kg vitamin E) and diet 6 (0.4 mg/kg Cr

Prop+200 mg/kg vitamin E) suggests Cr Prop supplementation at 1.2 mg/kg have growth performanceenhancing effects on the broiler chickens. Quite a few studies show that chromium Propionate dietarv supplementation has a promoting effect on the growth performance of chickens [Kroliczewskaet al., 2005; Jackson et al., 2008]. This result further unfolds another beneficial biological activity of Chromium when used as a dietary supplement [Khan et al. 2014]. CrProp improves growth by increasing insulin sensitivity, initiation of microRNA translation and consequently, the improvement in the stimulation of muscle protein synthesis [O'Connor et al. 2003). The vitamin E supplementation (200mg/kg) does not significantly (P>0.05) improve the final body weight, compared to the control. The feed intake of the broiler chickens fed diet 4 (1.2 mg/kg CrProp) increased (P<0.05) compared to those fed the rest diets. The 1.2 mg/kg CrPropsupplementation increased (P<0.05) the feed intake of the birds. In contrast, the interaction between CrProp and vitamin E significantly (P<0.05) affects the feed conversion ratio at 0.4 mg/kg Cr Prop and 0.8 mg/kg Cr Prop+200 mg/kg vitamin E.

Table 3 shows that the haematological indices of the birds, MCHC, WBC, Heterocyte, and lymphocyte counts were significantly (P<0.05) affected by CrProp. In contrast, vitamin E supplementationdoesaffect (P<0.05) the MCV, MCH values. The study showed that the CrProp in combination with vitamin E significantly (P<0.05) affects MCV, MCH, WBC and lymphocyte counts. The results of most blood indices across the various dietary treatments in this study show the supports of the CrPropand vitamin Eat the levels used in this study for normal blood formation. Granulocytes (neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils) are phagocytes and possess granules of enzymes that digest the invading microbes. Omoleye et al.

International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology, 6(6)-2021

CrProp (mg/kg	Vitamin E (mg/kg)	Initial weight (g/bird)	Final body weight (g/bird)	Total weight gain (g/bird)	Daily weight gain (g/bird)	Feed intake (g/bird)	Daily feed intake (g/bird)	FCR
Level of CrProp								
0		39.37±0.26	2601±58.61 ^b	2562.60±58.63 ^b	45.79±1.05 ^b	5863.70±95.98°	104.71±1.71°	2.29±0.06
0.4		39.22±0.29	2679.17±106.51 ^b	2639.95±106.26 ^b	47.14±1.90 ^{ab}	5976.47 ± 82.30^{b}	106.72 ± 1.47^{bc}	2.28±0.08
0.8		39.39±0.17	2880.97±67.55 ^{ab}	2841.58±67.54 ^{ab}	50.74±1.21ª	6166.47 ± 44.88^{ab}	110.12 ± 0.80^{b}	2.17±0.04
1.2		40.29±0.51	2917.84 ± 93.42^{a}	2877.56±93.28 ^a	51.38±1.67 ^a	6403.90±121.41ª	114.36 ± 2.17^{a}	2.23±0.06
Vitamin E								
	0	39.78±0.32	2817.20±49.80	2777.42±49.62	49.60±0.89	6075.97±91.97	108.50 ± 1.64	2.19±0.02 ^b
	200	39.36±0.15	2722.78±81.89	2683.42±81.81	47.92±1.46	6129.29±80.52	109.45 ± 1.44	2.30±0.05ª
CrProp x Vit E								
0	0	39.28±0.57	2667.43±94.15	2628.15 ± 93.82^{b}	$46.93{\pm}1.68^{b}$	5734.99±152.69 ^b	102.41 ± 2.73^{b}	2.18±0.06 ^a
0.4	0	39.64±37.41	2873.26±37.41	2833.62±37.41ª	50.60 ± 0.67^{a}	$6032.07{\pm}23.64^{ab}$	$107.72{\pm}0.42^{ab}$	2.13±0.02 ^a
0.8	0	39.25±0.33	2832.94±113.37	2793.69±113.33 ^{ab}	$49.89{\pm}2.02^{ab}$	$6153.27{\pm}88.70^{ab}$	$109.88{\pm}1.58^{ab}$	2.21±0.06 ^b
1.2	0	40.94±0.91	2895.15±124.49	2854.22±123.77 ^a	50.97±2.21ª	6383.57±211.99ª	113.99 ± 3.79^{a}	2.24±0.04 ^b
0	200	39.47±0.01	2536.51 ± 63.47^{ab}	$2497.04{\pm}63.46^{b}$	44.59 ± 1.13^{b}	$5992.40 {\pm} 78.63^{b}$	$107.01{\pm}1.40^{b}$	2.40±0.03°
0.4	200	38.80±0.50	$2485.07{\pm}132.84^{b}$	$2446.27{\pm}132.46^{b}$	43.68 ± 2.37^{b}	$5920.88 {\pm} 173.84^{b}$	$105.73 {\pm} 3.10^{b}$	2.43±0.09°
0.8	200	39.53±0.14	2929.00±87.51ª	2889.47 ± 87.58^{a}	$51.60{\pm}1.56^{a}$	$6179.67{\pm}45.05^{ab}$	$110.35{\pm}0.80^{ab}$	2.14±0.05 ^a
1.2	200	39.63±0.14	2940.53±166.22ª	2900.90±166.26ª	$51.80{\pm}2.97^{a}$	6424.22±168.36ª	144.72±3.01ª	2.23±0.13 ^b
Statistical significance								
CrProp		0.10	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.35
Vitamin E		0.20	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.58	0.58	0.04
CrProp x Vitamin E		0.24	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05

Table 2. Effects of Chromium Propionate and vitamin Edietary supplementation on the performance characteristics of broiler chickens

Means with a different superscript in the same column are significantly (P<0.05) different; Cr Prop: Chromium Propionate

						·•••·•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	eine, righteantan e		<i>cg)) c(c) _c</i>	
	Та	Fable 3. Effects of Chromium Propionate and vitamin Edietary supplementation on the haematological indices of broiler chickens								ns
CrProp Vitamin E (mg/kg (mg/kg)	Vitamin E	E PCV	RBC	HBc	MCHC	MCV	MCH	WBC		
	(%)	(x10 ⁶ /1)	(g/d1)	(g/d1)	(fl)	(pg/cell)	(x10 ⁹ /1)	HET (x109/1)		
Level of CrProp										
0		37.17±0.87	4.45±0.56	12.40±0.29	33.40±0.34	89.83±11.29ª	$29.97{\pm}3.77$	4.47 ± 0.94^{b}	2.08±4.19	2
0.4		38.17±1.64	4.90±0.43	12.73±0.55	33.42±0.34	81.52 ± 8.73^{ab}	27.15±2.91	4.33±1.07 ^b	2.10±0.71	2
0.8		40.67±1.17	4.95±0.65	13.57±0.39	33.83±0.36	87.67 ± 8.70^{a}	29.25±2.89	8.88 ± 1.47^{a}	3.20±0.52	5
1.2		39.67±1.09	4.98±0.09	13.22±0.37	34.45±0.15	$79.78{\pm}2.87^{\mathrm{b}}$	26.58 ± 0.96	$5.87{\pm}1.41^{ab}$	2.08±0.53	1
Vitamin E										
	0	38.50±0.84	4.66±0.26	12.84±0.28	33.51±0.18	85.10±4.53	28.37±1.51	6.27±0.71	2.85±0.34	2
	200	39.33±0.98	4.98±0.38	13.12±0.33	34.04±0.28	84.30±6.93	28.11±2.31	5.51±1.22	1.88±0.43	3
CrProp x Vit	Е									
0	0	36.33±0.88	5.23±0.90	12.13±0.30	33.57±0.32	73.27±11.55 ^b	24.43 ± 3.85^{b}	6.40±0.29 ^{ab}	3.17±0.26	
0.4	0	40.00±2.65	4.07±0.27	13.37±0.88	33.17±0.28	98.73±6.28ª	32.90±2.08ª	6.47±0.90 ^{ab}	3.47±0.78	2

33.10±0.26

34.20±0.20

33.23±1.16

33.67±0.66

34.57±0.19

34.70±0.06

0.05

0.07

0.19

Means with a different superscript in the same column are significantly (P<0.05) different; CrPic: Chromium Propionate; PCV: Packed cell volume; RBC: Red blood cell; HBc: Haemoglobin concentration; MCV: Mean cell volume; MCH: Mean cell haemoglobin; WBC: White blood cells; GRA: Granulocytes; LYM: Lymphocytes; MON: Monocytes; SEM: Standard error of the mean

93.33±4.60^{ab}

75.07±3.29^b

106.40±26.26^a

64.30±6.75°

82.00±18.03b

84.50±2.86^b

0.04

0.91

0.02

0.8

1.2

0

0.4

0.8

1.2

Statistical significance

CrProp level*Vitamin E

CrProp

Vitamin E

Omoleye et al.

0 40.00±1.53

0 37.67±0.67

38.00±2.65^b

36.33±1.76b

41.33±2.03ª

41.67±1.20^a

0.19

0.48

0.05

200

200

200

200

4.30±0.21

5.03±0.20

3.67±0.59

5.73±0.39

5.60±1.27

4.93±0.03

0.79

0.45

0.06

13.33±0.52

12.53±0.23

12.67±0.91

12.10±0.59

13.80±0.67

13.90±0.42

0.20

0.49

0.15

8.67±1.79^a

3.53±0.52b

2.53±1.33^a

2.20±0.58b

9.10±2.76^a

8.20±2.05ª

0.02

0.47

0.02

3.17±0.79

 1.60 ± 0.50

1.00±0.72^b

0.73±0.18^b

 3.23 ± 0.84^{a}

2.57±0.97^a

0.05

0.06

0.46

International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology, 6(6)-2021

31.13±1.54^{ab}

25.00±1.10^b

35.50±8.75ª

21.40±2.25°

27.37±5.99^b

28.17±0.93b

0.71

0.91

0.02

LYM

 $(x10^{9}/1)$

 2.30 ± 0.42^{b}

 2.13 ± 0.39^{b} 5.60 ± 1.05^{a}

3.70±0.45^{ab}

3.33±0.50 3.53±0.80

3.13±0.29^{ab}

2.87±0.20^b

5.43±1.33ª

 1.90 ± 0.46^{b}

 1.47 ± 0.57^{b}

 1.40 ± 0.40^{b}

 5.77 ± 1.93^{a}

5.50±1.15^a

0.01

0.77

0.05

MON

 $(x10^{9}/1)$

0.08±0.02 0.08±0.05

 0.11 ± 0.04

 0.08 ± 0.04

 0.10 ± 0.03

 0.08 ± 0.03

 0.11 ± 0.02

0.13±0.10

 0.09 ± 0.05

 0.05 ± 0.02

 0.06 ± 0.02

 0.02 ± 0.01

 0.12 ± 0.07

0.11±0.09

0.96

0.65

0.45

IV. CONCLUSION

The 1.2mg/kg CrProp dietary supplementations improved the final body weight, total weight gain, daily weight gain and daily feed intake of the broiler chickens. Also, the 200 mg vitamin Esupplementation did not enhance the final body weight, total weight gain, daily weight gain and daily feed intake of the broiler chickens.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This work was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of the Animal Production and Health Department, The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- AOAC. (1995) Association of official analytical chemistry, official methods Of analysis(16th Ed.). Washington, DC; 1995.
- [2] Ayo JO, Obidi JA, Rekwot PI. Effects of heat stress on the well-being, fertility and humidity of chickens in the Northern Guinea Savanah Zone of Nigeria: ISRN Veterinary Science. 2011;838606.DOI:10.5402/2011/838606.
- [3] Ayo JO, Oladele SB, Fayomi A. Effect of heat stress on livestock production: a review. Nigerian Veterinary Journal. 1996; 1:58–68.
- Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalayci O. (2012). Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense. World Allergy Organ J. 2012;5(1):9–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/WOX.0b013e3182439613</u>.
- [5] Donkoh A. Ambient temperature: a factor affecting performance and physiological response of broiler chickens. International Journal of Biometeorology. 1989.33(4): 259–265.
- [6] Jackson, A.R., Powell, S., Johnston, S., Shelton, J.L., Bidner, T.D., Valdez, F.R., Southern, L.L.,2008. The effect of chromium propionate on growth performance and carcass traits in broiler. Research 17,476-483.
- [7] Khan RU, Naz S, Dhama K, Saminathan M, Tiwari R, Jeon GJ, Laudadio V, Tufarelli V. Modes of action and beneficial application of Chromium in poultry nutrition, production and health: A review. International Journal of Pharmacology. 2014;10(7):357-367
- [8] Khan RU, Naz S, Dhama K. 2014a. Chromium: pharmacological applications in heat-stressed poultry. Int J Pharm. 10(4):213–217. doi: 10.3923/ijp.2014.213.217
- [9] Khan RU, Naz S, Nikousefat Z, Tufarelli V, Javdani M, Rana N, Laudadio V. 2011. Effect of vitamin E in heat-stressed poultry. Worlds Poult Sci J. 67(3):469–478. doi: 10.1017/S0043933911000511.
- [10] KroliczewskaB., W. Zawadzki, T. Skiba, D. MiEta (2005): Effects of Chromium Supplementation on Chicken Broiler Growth and Carcass Characteristics. Acta Vet. Brno 2005,74: 543-549.
- [11] Lin H, Jiao HC, Buyse J, Decuypere E. Strategies for preventing heat stress in poultry. World's Poultry Science Journal. 2006;62(1):71-85.
- [12] Luo, X., Zheng, C., Xia, W., Ruan, D., Wang, S., Cui, Y., Yu, D., Wu, Q., Huang, D., Zhang, Y., Chen, W., 2018. Effects of

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.66.28 constant or intermittent high temperature on egg production, feed intake, and hypothalamic expression of antioxidant and pro-oxidant enzymes genes in laying ducks. Journal of Animal Science 96, 5064–5074.

- [13] O'Connor PM, Kimball SR, Suryawan A, Bush JA, Nguyen HV, Jefferson LS, Davis TA. Regulation of translation initiation by insulin and amino acids in skeletal muscle of neonatal pigs. American Journal of Physiology, Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2003;285(1):40-53
- [14] Ognik K, Drazbo A, Stepniowska A, Kozlowski K, Listos P, Jankowski J. The effect of chromium nanoparticles and chromium picolinate in broiler chicken diet on the performance, redox status and tissues histology. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2020;259:114326.DOI:<u>10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.114326</u>
- [15] Oguntunji, O.M., Alabi, A.O. (2010). Influence of high environmental temperature on egg production and shell quality, a review. World's Poultry Science. J. 66, 739–774.
- [16] Sahin K, Sahin N, Kucuk O, Hayirli A, Prasad AS. 2009. Role of dietary zinc in heat-stressed poultry: a review. Poult Sci. 88(10):2176–2183. doi: 10.3382/ps.2008-00560
- [17] Sahin, N., Hayirli, A., Orhan, C., 2018. Effects of the supplemental chromium form on performance and metabolic profile in laying hens exposed to heat stress. Poultry Science 97, 1298–1305
- [18] Spiteller G. (2006). Peroxyl radicals: inductors of neurodegenerative and other inflammatory diseases. Their origin and how they transform cholesterol, phospholipids, plasmalogens, polyunsaturated fatty acids, sugars, and proteins into deleterious products. Free RadicBiol Med.2006;41(3):36287. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiome d.2006.03.013</u>.
- [19] Torki M., Karami, M and Mohammadi H. (2018). Effects of Dietary Supplemental Vitamin E and Chromium on Egg Production, Egg Quality and Blood Parameters of Laying Hens under Thermoneutral or Heat Stressed Conditions. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science (2018) 8(2), 295-303.
- [20] Wang, R. H., Liang, R. R., Lin, H., Zhu, L. X., Zhang, Y. M., Mao, Y. W. and Luo, X. (2017). Effect of acute heat stress and slaughter processing on poultry meat quality and post mortem carbohydrate metabolism. Poultry Science 96(3), 738–746. [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- [21] Yousaf, A., Jabbar, A., Rajput, N., Memon, A., Shahnawaz, R., Mukhtar, N., Farooq, F., Abbas, M., Khalil, R., 2019. Effect of environmental heat stress on performance and carcass yield of broiler chicks. World 9 (1), 26–30.
- [22] Zhao Y, Ducharne A, Sultan B, Braconnot P, Vautard R. Estimating heat stress from climate-based indicators: presentday biases and future spreads in the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble. Environmental Research Letters. 2015; 10(8):084–013