Use of bioremediation for the removal of petroleum hydrocarbons from the soil: an overview

João Victor de Oliveira Santos¹, Ylanna Larissa Alves Ferreira¹, Letícia Leôncio de Souza Silva¹, Ingrid Miranda de Lacerda Buarque de Lyra¹, Sarah Brandão Palácio¹, Isabella Macário Ferro Cavalcanti¹,²

¹Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE), Keizo Asami Immunopathology Laboratory (LIKA), Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil
²Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE), Laboratory of Microbiology and Immunology, Centro Acadêmico de Vitória (CAV), Vitória de Santo Antão, Pernambuco, Brazil

Abstract—Large amount of organic and inorganic compounds are released constantly in the environment as a consequence of human activity and technological and industrial advancement. Environmental pollution by petroleum and petrochemicals, such as petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs), is considered one of the most serious hazards today due to its worldwide distribution. Contamination by these pollutants causes degradation of global environment and a substantial reduction in biodiversity. In addition, a deep removal of the pollutants is often required to prevent their migration into the water, air and therefore threaten human health. In this way, the search for ecologically sustainable approaches to repair contaminated environments have been of great concern in society. Bioremediation is a technique, based on the metabolic activity of living organisms, which aims to reduce, degrade and/or remove contaminants from the marine and terrestrial ecosystems, thereby minimizing the risk to human health by restoring the ecosystem to its normal condition [1, 5, 6].

Bioremediation technologies are based on chemical oxidation/reduction reactions. These reactions modify the chemical composition, from the addition of reagents, generating an increase of the degradation and extraction of contaminants, converting them into less toxic, less mobile or inert compounds [7].

1.1 Bioremediation

Bioremediation is a technique that exploits the ability of living organisms to reduce, degrade and/or remove contaminants from marine and terrestrial ecosystems, thereby minimizing the risk to human health by restoring the ecosystem to its normal condition [1, 5, 6]. The fundamental principles of bioremediation involve reducing the solubility, redox reactions and the adsorption of contaminants from the polluted environment [7]. The success of this technique also depends on the nature of the pollutant, which may be hydrocarbons, heavy metals, agrochemicals, greenhouse gases, nuclear waste, sewage [8]. Bioremediation technologies are based on chemical oxidation/reduction reactions. These reactions modify the chemical composition, from the addition of reagents, generating an increase of the degradation and extraction of contaminants, converting them into less toxic, less mobile or inert compounds [7].
Depending on the application site, bioremediation techniques can be classified as *in situ* or *ex situ*. *In situ* bioremediation implies a cleaning treatment of pollutants in their place of origin. It does not require excavation, therefore it results in little or no disturbance in soil structuring. This bioremediation has been successfully used in the treatment of hydrocarbons, heavy metals and dyes [7]. *Ex situ* bioremediation involves the excavation of the pollutants and subsequently transporting it to another treatment site. To choose the suitable technique which should be used, certain variables such as the type of pollutant, the degree of pollution and the geology of the contaminated site must be considered [8].

The usual *in situ* and *ex situ* physico-chemical treatments for remediation of PHCs involve costly strategies, and often result only in the incomplete decomposition of the pollutants. Thus, in the last two decades, alternative remediation techniques based on biological methods have been progressively accepted as a standard practice, since they are more efficient in minimizing waste and preserving natural resources, as well as being more cost-effective [6, 9].

Environmental pollution by oil and PHCs is considered one of the most serious current problems [10, 11]. Historically, bioremediation has been used for restoration of environments polluted by PHCs [5, 6, 12]. Bioremediation has become an alternative method of remediation of oil-contaminated areas, where microbial communities play a key role during the process, either by direct degradation of pollutants or by interaction with other microorganisms added [13]. However, environmental conditions such as temperature, substrate availability, presence of suitable microorganisms, pH and humidity, directly influence the growth and metabolism of microorganisms, making these the main limiting factors for the success of bioremediation [7].

Many bacterial strains have been described capable of degrading PHCs, among them the species of *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Mycobacterium*, *Haemophilus*, *Rhodococcus*, *Paenibacillus* and *Ralstonia* [14]. This ability is attributed to the presence of genes and enzymes that use chemical complexes present in petroleum as vital sources of energy. In some situations, these bacterial enzymes need the plants to remove the pollutants, a process that is called phytoremediation [15]. Phytoaugmentation is an alternative of remediation of organic pollutants and contaminants of heavy metals, using plants and associated microorganisms, to metabolize and degrade contaminants found in the most varied habitats. Phytobioremediation is considered an efficient method because it is ecologically correct and economical, being a good alternative for the effects generated by the growth of the petroleum industry [16, 17]. However, the expected success depends on the level of contamination, amount of contaminating metal, as well as the absorption capacity of heavy metals by plants and microorganisms [6].

The treatment of contaminated soils through bioremediation involves two main strategies, biostimulation and bioaugmentation. Biostimulation consists of the manipulation of environmental variables, introducing essential nutrients or biosurfactants, in order to increase the degradation of PHCs by native microorganisms [5, 13]. The bioaugmentation is based on the increase of the microbial population with degradative capacities, through the addition of oil degrading microorganisms to the contaminated soil matrix. Bioremediation is ideal for circumstances in which native microorganisms cannot perform pollutant degradation. Both techniques can be applied separately or in combination [5, 18]. The diversity and abundance of microorganisms present in polluted environments directly affect the success of the remediation technique employed. Once the PHC removal process has started, the availability of the free contaminant and its potential to penetrate the membrane of the organism have determined the rate at which the contaminant can be absorbed by the microorganism [8].

### II. OIL HYDROCARBONS

During the process of extraction, refining, storage and transport of PHCs, considerable amounts of this product are released into the environment, with spillage being the main route of contamination [5, 19]. The need of oil and other compounds as alternative sources of energy has contributed to the increase in pollution resulting from this class of pollutants [8].

PHCs are organic pollutants of great concern due to its toxicity and extensive worldwide distribution. They are classified into two categories, diesel range hydrocarbons (DRHs) and gasoline-range hydrocarbons (GRHs). DRHs include longer chain alkanes and hydrophobic chemicals, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PHCs). GRHs include hydrocarbons, such as ethylbenzene, benzene, xylenes and toluene [6].

For more than half a century, procedures in the petrochemical industry have indiscriminately caused the release of hydrocarbons and related pollutants, causing degradation of the environment and a considerable reduction in soil biodiversity. It is not only a social and sanitary issue, but it is also an economic issue, by way of these problems are harmful to local populations living on agriculture, an important pillar for the world economy [3]. In addition, deep cleaning is often necessary in order to prevent the migration of contaminants into the water, air and hence threaten human health [5, 20]. Prolonged
exposure to PHCs can impair the central nervous system and endocrine system, increasing the risk to develop bladder, kidney, lung and skin cancers [21-23]. The attempt to create an appropriate and effective protocol for decontamination by petrochemicals remains an enigma [24, 25]. Factors such as the geological formation, composition and types of hydrocarbons found in different regions should be considered. Therefore, a single and unvarying approach does not seem appropriate. In view of this, the search for new ecologically sustainable approaches to repair environments contaminated with PHCs is of major importance [3]. Over the years, various researches have been published with technologies available to deal with contaminated soils. Bioremediation based on the metabolic activity of microorganisms for restoration of environments contaminated by a range of contaminants presents certain advantages. Nonetheless, a successful application for PHCs remains a challenge.

III. BIOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS FOR CONTAMINATION

The ability of certain microorganisms to utilize PHCs as a carbon source in their metabolism has been proven for about 80 years [18]. For the purpose of promote an efficient bioremediation of PHCs, it is necessary to add fertilizers rich in nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), as these elements promote the growth of the local microbial community [6, 26].

The degree of susceptibility of PHCs to different bioremediation techniques can be evaluated by understanding three parameters: (a) microbial properties (regulation and gene expression, metabolic diversity, adhesion mechanisms, metal tolerance, chemotaxis); (b) environmental factors (nutrient availability, salinity, pressure, temperature, pH, water availability); and (c) hydrocarbon substrate properties (solubility, concentration, hydrophobicity, volatility, molecular mass) [6, 27, 28]. Among the microorganisms with the capacity to restrain the hydrocarbons in the soil, bacteria and fungi stand out.

3.1 Bacteria

Bacteria are efficient microorganisms in the petroleum degradation process [29]. Certain bacteria such as Bacillus sp., Pseudomonas sp. and Chomobacterium vinosum reduce the total hydrocarbons by the secretion of lipases that can hydrolyze the constituent fatty acids [30]. The P-1 strain of Pseudomonas sp. was able to degrade crude oil and hydrocarbons such as hexadecane. Bacteria of this genus are known for the ability to produce biosurfactants, which, together with the degradation capacity of PHCs, make them advantageous options in the bioremediation of contaminated soils [31]. Four species of biosurfactant-producing Pseudomonas: P. acidovorans, P. cepacia, P. pickettii and P. fluorescens, had the ability to remove 80% of motor oil present in the soil [32]. The strains of Bacilli, Bacillus stratosphericus, Bacillus subtilis, Ochrobactrum sp. and P. aeruginosa, isolated from the soil contaminated by creosote, present great potential for hydrocarbons degradation by the production of biosurfactants [33]. Bacillus subtilis besides producing surfactants, also has the ability to degrade hydrocarbons [34]. Both bacteria, P. aeruginosa sp. and B. subtilis, use PHCs as a source of carbon and energy. However, some studies found a greater activity when both were previously exposed to the pollutant [35, 36]. Furthermore, these bacteria are used in tropical countries to treat contaminated soils, since they are thermophilic microorganisms [37]. Other studies reported the association of bacteria as a potent bioremediator. Two species of Sphingomonas, B0695 and EPA505 were evaluated for their bioremediate effect separately and in combination. When hydrocarbons are exposed to bacteria individually, only low-weight hydrocarbons (naphthalene, phenanthrene and fluoranthene) are degraded. However, all contaminants were degraded when exposed to both bacterial species simultaneously, indicating that the use of the associated species brings advantages in the bioremediation of contaminated soils [38, 39].

3.2 Fungi

Fungi play an important role in bioremediation due to their metabolic activity, ability to secrete enzymes and to survive in extreme environmental conditions. Thus, the use of fungi in bioremediation seems to be the sustainable and economical choice for the treatment of soils contaminated by toxic organic compounds [40]. White-rot fungi have a great potential for bioremediation. These fungi produce lignolytic enzymes, responsible for the adsorption of dyes, allowing their application in places that contain dyes in degradation and discoloration of organic pollutants. Coriolus versicolor, Hirschioporus larincinus, Inonotus hispidus, Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Phlebia tremellosa are some examples of these fungi. The evaluation of the activity of thirteen lignolytic fungal strains was performed and it was verified that the degree of degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons varied according to the amount of lignolytic enzymes [41]. Moreover, fungi are efficient in the reduction of phenolic compounds, and can be useful in the recovery of soils contaminated by PHCs. Fungi are excellent bioremediators of toxic compounds due to their ability to produce enzymes, such as lipases, that have the capacity to breaks-up highly stable aromatic rings presented in PHCs. Among fungi with potential for degradation of these compounds are Aspergillus, Curvularia, Drechslera, Fusarium, Lasiodiplodia, Mucor, Penicillium, Rhizopus and Trichoderma [42, 43]. Low
molecular weight PHCs are easily degraded by Aspergillus sp., Fusarium oxysporum and Trichocladium canadense. On the other hand, the high molecular weight ones are degraded with higher potential by T. canadense, Aspergillus sp., Verticillium sp. and Achememonium sp. [44]. P. ostreatus also demonstrated the ability to remove PHCs, such as phenanthrene and pyrene [45]. The fungi present an intracellular network of cytochrome P450 (CYPs) that can be used as catalytic agent of the hydrocarbon oxidation process, being an important mechanism for the successful removal of PHCs [46]. Another mechanism of action of fungi is the production of laccases. Laccases belong to the group of blue oxidases, which use extracellular copper as cofactor and oxygen as co-substrate. Furthermore, they are able to oxidize phenolic and non-phenolic compounds, being observed with a higher activity in fungi [47]. Due to its activity upon a variety of substrates, laccases are considered an ideal catalyst for different industrial applications, such as the use of these enzymes for bioremediation, even in extreme conditions of salinity, permitting their application in the bioremediation of polluted soils and seas [48]. Phanerocheate chrysosporium and Aspergillus niger fungi produce enzymes that act on hydrocarbon substrates, allowing their efficient application in the process of removing residues from diesel contaminated soils [10].

3.2 Earthworms

Earthworms have been applied in the bioremediation since 1976, after having survived the ingestion of toxic products that leaked after explosion of a factory in Italy. Since then, researchers have evaluated the potential of earthworms in bioremediation of soils contaminated with crude oil and by-products. E. eugeniae was not the only microorganism able to survive to the high concentration of contaminating diesel, it also reduced the concentration of heavy metals, PHCs and benzene, as well as completely eliminated toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene, adapting to the new soil conditions [3]. A similar result was observed when using Eisenia fetida in pyrene bioremediation [49]. Degradation rates increase when E. fetida was added to soil contaminated with heavy crude oil [50]. A study evaluating Eudrilis eugeniae in soil contaminated with hydrocarbons showed that a greater reduction in hydrocarbon content was obtained when compared to samples that were not exposed to the worm [24]. Soil contaminated with PHCs, phenanthrene and fluoranthene were rapidly bioremediated after exposure to the earthworm species Lumbricus rubellus when compared to microorganisms [51].

**Nanomaterials: an alternative method to remove pollutants**

The use of nanosystems to remove pollutants has important advantages. Nanomaterials have a much larger surface area compared to their total volume, which increases the area of interaction between the substances, the reactivity of reaction and the efficiency in the degradation of toxic compounds, reducing the amount of activation energy and shortening the latency after remediation [52]. Nanomaterials of different shapes and sizes can be applied for environmental remediation [52]. TiO2 nanotubes are useful in the degradation of pentachlorophenol (PCP), a fungicide and herbicide, toxic to humans [53]. Polyamidoamine dendrimers (PAMAM) are used for the treatment of water as they are considered efficient and harmless to human health [54]. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) can be linked to enzymes, such as trypsins and peroxides, to protect these enzymes from oxidation, increasing their shelf life from hours to weeks for them to act as catalysts for remediation process [55]. Laccases, short half-life enzymes capable of catalyzing the oxidation of a series of phenolic compounds, have already been encapsulated in nanoparticles to provide stability of these enzymes over a wide pH and temperature ranges [47]. In this way, polymeric nanoparticles consisted of amphiphilic polyurethane (APU) and containing laccases have high capacity to remove PHCs from the soil [48].

**IV. CONCLUSION**

This review highlighted the effects of PHC contamination by certain human activities on the environment, possible health risks after long exposure, and characteristics of bioremediation process. The review also emphasized the potential use of microorganisms as biological tools, providing a more economical and efficient alternative to minimize waste and preserve natural resources, intend to be a promising solution to one of the crucial problems of modern society.
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