International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology
Vol-10, Issue-6; Nov-Dec, 2025
Peer-Reviewed International Journal
Journal Home Page Available: https://ijeab.com/
Journal DOI: 10.22161/ijeab

Integrating Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Technology for Enhanced Environmental Sustainability: A Dual-Framework Theoretical Study

Aprajita Gaur*, Rajesh Kumar Meena

Associate Professor, Department of Chemistry, Kalindi College, University of Delhi, 110008, New Delhi, India
*Corresponding Email Id: aprajitagaur@gmail.com

Received: 06 Oct 2025; Received in revised form: 04 Nov 2025; Accepted: 11 Nov 2025; Available online: 18 Nov 2025

***Abstract*— *Background: Environmental sustainability necessitates the incorporation of various knowledge systems to enhance resource management and bolster resilience against climate change. Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK), rooted on the insights of Indigenous and local people, offers a holistic and adaptable framework for environmental stewardship. In contrast, technology-driven methods employ digital breakthroughs to improve resource efficiency and enable comprehensive ecological monitoring. This theoretical study analyzes the interplay between traditional ecological knowledge and technological methodologies, aiming to elucidate their mechanisms, synergies, limitations, and possible avenues for integration. Methods: This study adopts a dual-framework methodology to bring together current literature on traditional ecological knowledge and technology-based sustainability methods. The work conducts a full conceptual mapping of both paradigms, generating comparative system dynamics diagrams, developing novel metrics such as resilience quotient and integrated stewardship score, and outlining simulation scenarios. The proposed frameworks seek to promote equitable partnerships and collaboratively design policies to improve hybrid models. This study utilizes a qualitative methodology, focusing on the creation of theoretical frameworks and the examination of scenarios to inform future empirical validation initiatives. Results: Through adaptive, place-based practices, TEK systems foster cultural cohesion, promote biodiversity conservation, and manifest enhanced long-term ecosystem stability. Systems driven by technology provide swift enhancements in the efficiency of resource utilization, enable real-time monitoring, and allow for scalable interventions; however, they may also pose risks related to infrastructural vulnerabilities and cultural disconnection. Hybrid models that combine traditional ecological knowledge with technological advancements are anticipated to yield synergistic advantages, such as improved resilience, inclusivity, and adaptive management strategies. The proposed metrics provide comparative tools for the evaluation of integrative systems. Conclusion: This dual-framework study emphasizes the synergistic functions of Traditional Ecological Knowledge and technology in promoting environmental sustainability. Through the conceptualization of integration pathways and the development of theoretical metrics, it establishes a foundation for evidence-based policy and rigorous empirical research. Future research must emphasize participatory approaches, validation of metrics, and pilot testing of hybrid sustainability models to promote equitable, adaptive, and resilient global stewardship.*

***Keywords*— Traditional Ecological Knowledge, technology-driven sustainability, environmental resilience, hybrid models, system dynamics, adaptive management, participatory governance.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Environmental sustainability, a cornerstone of global well-being, implicates equitable stewardship and management of the Earth's natural resources to ensure quality of life for present and future generations. Current policy and research frequently emphasize technical interventions and extensive innovation; nevertheless, sustainability is intrinsically linked to local context and cultural heritage. Consequently, effective sustainability management requires an integration of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) with technology-based approaches, as each offers unique advantages and presents specific challenges for the sustainable utilization of resources [1, 2]. TEK is fundamentally based on the experiences, traditions, and intergenerational knowledge of Indigenous and local communities, emphasizing the role of human beings as integral components within broader ecological systems. Indigenous communities, comprising less than seven percent of the global population, oversee the stewardship of over one-third of the planet's remaining natural areas. This underscores the significant influence of traditional ecological knowledge and its lasting adaptive management approaches. This framework conceptualizes resource utilization as a reciprocal process, wherein practices such as rotational farming, water harvesting, and controlled burning have evolved over centuries through environmental adaptation and the aggregation of collective knowledge. The practices outlined, underpinned by the Social-Ecological Systems Theory, contribute to resilience, biodiversity, and cultural cohesion through the establishment of intricate feedback loops that connect cultural and ecological contexts [3, 4]. The theoretical foundations of TEK emphasize the significance of place-based observations, qualitative analysis, and the transmission of knowledge across generations as fundamental mechanisms. For instance, the implementation of fire management practices in the Kimberley region of Australia, along with the use of controlled burns in North America, has demonstrated effectiveness in promoting ecosystem stability and adaptability, while also fostering the ongoing cultural and spiritual connections to the land. Empirical research consistently demonstrates that communities guided by traditional ecological knowledge display enhanced adaptive capacity and sustained ecosystem stability when compared to systems managed exclusively through scientific or market-based methods. Despite its potential, the widespread application of Traditional Ecological Knowledge encounters several obstacles, such as undervaluation, challenges in knowledge transfer, and a lack of compatibility with Western scientific frameworks. These issues necessitate focused efforts to achieve effective integration [5, 6]. On the other hand, technology-driven sustainability draws power from digital transformation and systemic innovation. Recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), smart grids, and remote sensing provide enhanced capabilities for large-scale monitoring, resource optimization, and swift response to mitigation efforts. The implementation of these systems, through the operationalization of closed-loop resource cycles and the adoption of renewable energy, has facilitated the development of circular economy models and led to notable decreases in greenhouse gas emissions. Digital platforms currently enable the monitoring, evaluation, and clarity of sustainability metrics, equipping users and decision-makers with immediate insights and technical efficiency [7, 8, 9]. Behavioral and social innovation play a crucial role in driving systemic change. Gamified education platforms, shared mobility solutions, and autonomous resource management systems are instrumental in transforming daily habits, steering them towards more environmentally sustainable practices. The efficacy of policy is improved by the ability to oversee and modify resource distributions, pollution patterns, and societal involvement on a notable scale and speed. However, overreliance on infrastructure, risks of cultural disconnect, and vulnerabilities to systemic disruption remain salient drawbacks for purely technology-driven models [8, 10]. The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with technological advancements represents a complex yet promising area of inquiry in the realm of sustainability science. Theoretical frameworks are progressively endorsing participatory models in environmental management, highlighting the collaborative design of stewardship protocols that incorporate Indigenous knowledge alongside sophisticated monitoring systems. Schematic diagrams, such as resource flowcharts and feedback loop maps, function as valuable instruments for illustrating comparative system dynamics. The distinct strengths in adaptability, resilience, and efficiency are illustrated among pure traditional ecological knowledge, pure technological approaches, and hybrid models. The suggested indices, such as the "resilience quotient," "technology efficiency index," and "integrative stewardship score," present innovative measures for evaluating efficacy and guiding future empirical research [11, 12]. The literature mapping of recent studies highlights the trade-offs and synergies that are intrinsic to these paradigms. TEK exhibits remarkable proficiency in local adaptation, continuous ecological observation, and cultural heritage protection, while technology-driven approaches improve reach, speed, and monitoring precision. The conceptual synthesis highlights the potential for policy frameworks that emphasize equitable collaborations, ethical protections, and inclusive co-design processes with Indigenous communities. As a result, hybrid methodologies, bolstered by advancements in agent-based modeling, possess the capacity to enhance efficiency, resilience, social inclusiveness, and adaptive management, thereby laying the groundwork for transformative sustainability initiatives.

II. METHODOLOGY

This research employs a theoretical methodology that combines qualitative synthesis, conceptual modeling, and framework creation to evaluate and contrast the contributions of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and technology-driven practices to environmental sustainability.

Literature Mapping and Conceptual Extraction

An expansive literature mapping is involved in the first stage, utilizing current academic databases and targeted keyword searches related to TEK, technology-driven sustainability, and hybrid systems. Relevance is prioritized for studies published within the last five years. Theoretical models, mechanisms, and empirical insights are systematically extracted from selected literature, with data organized around concepts such as resilience, stewardship, efficiency, and equity.

Framework Synthesis

The methodology, informed by extracted themes, produces conceptual frameworks that facilitate the visualization of system dynamics pertaining to TEK, technological, and hybrid models. Schematic diagrams, resource flowcharts, and feedback loop maps are created to illustrate critical variables, including the resilience quotient, energy efficiency, and biodiversity index. The interconnections, trade-offs, and potential synergies among the paradigms are clarified through comparative modeling.

Indicator and Metric Development

Within the framework, theoretical indicators including “resilience quotient,” “technology efficiency index,” and “integrative stewardship score” are defined and structurally organized. These metrics are grounded in literature to allow systematic comparative analysis and form the basis for future empirical validation.

Scenario Simulation Design

The methodology proposes hypothetical scenario simulations, such as drought responses utilizing either TEK or sensor-driven smart irrigation. It is recommended that agent-based modeling and system dynamics simulation software be used to test adaptive capacity, resource optimization, and policy responsiveness in simulated policy environments. Theoretical emphasis is placed on the design, logic, and structure of future empirical inquiry in this step.

Policy Model and Integration Guidelines

Policy model construction synthesizes knowledge for equitable integration of TEK and technology, emphasizing guidelines for participatory co-design, ethical safeguards, and culturally sensitive implementation. The methodology foregrounds best practices from cross-sector case studies and proposes structured principles for future hybrid interventions and pilot programs.

Limitations and Future Directions

Finally, the methodology acknowledges theoretical constraints, notably the absence of empirical data, site-specific variables, and possible unintended consequences. Recommendations are made for future empirical studies, such as pilot projects and metric validation in real-world settings.

III. RESULTS

Theoretical results from this dual-framework study indicate that integrating Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) with technology-driven practices creates robust avenues for advancing global environmental sustainability. Both paradigms, when examined separately and in tandem, reveal unique mechanisms, strengths, and adaptation capacities for resource management, climate change mitigation, and resilience-building across diverse ecological contexts.

TEK Systems: Adaptive Capacity and Biodiversity Conservation

TEK systems exhibit remarkable proficiency in sustaining ecosystem stability and executing adaptive management, grounded in centuries of localized observation and the transmission of experiential knowledge. Indigenous practices, including rotational agriculture, water harvesting, and controlled burning, demonstrate measurable benefits in domains such as soil restoration, forest management, and biodiversity conservation. Investigations, both empirical and theoretical, indicate that communities focused on traditional ecological knowledge manage risk effectively through strategies including seasonal mobility, resource storage, and pooling, which in turn enhances their resilience to environmental stressors. Regions where Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) is successfully utilized and passed down through generations demonstrate elevated biodiversity indices and enhanced long-term resilience of ecosystems [13]. In addition to ecological outcomes, traditional ecological knowledge enhances biocultural diversity by integrating environmental decision-making with cultural, spiritual, and social dimensions. This integration facilitates the prioritization of localized concerns, in contrast to more extensive global challenges such as climate variability, which may appear less urgent to local populations. Examples include the management of water pollution or deforestation, both of which are driven by pressing threats to livelihoods. The facilitation of self-reliance and the cultivation of relevant knowledge through Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) support the ongoing adaptation and restructuring of communities in response to disturbances. Conversely, the data suggest that the TEK knowledge reservoirs are still being eroded by modernization, market integration, and external forces. In the context of global change, hybridization is a critical pathway for the preservation of the fundamental adaptive potential of traditional knowledge (TEK) through the adaptation and integration of new technologies [14].

Technology-Driven Models: Efficiency and Scale

Sustainability-focused systems that use digital infrastructures, intelligent sensors, and AI-powered analytics considerably enhance resource use and pollution management efficiency. System dynamics models show considerable short-term benefits in agricultural input management, forest health monitoring, and water efficiency optimization. Metrics such as the "technology efficiency index" highlight progress in energy optimization, waste reduction, and carbon mitigation at the regional and national level. Social innovation platforms promote participatory monitoring and facilitate environmentally sustainable behavior change among diverse populations. While these strengths are notable, an excessive dependence on technological infrastructure can lead to vulnerabilities, including systemic risks, cultural disconnection, and disparities in equity, particularly in areas where access to or the ability to sustain digital systems is constrained. Theoretical findings indicate that systems that rely exclusively on technology may experience deficiencies in long-term resilience and adaptability when lacking locally grounded knowledge and context-responsive practices.

Hybrid Models: Synergistic Pathways and Resilience

Hybrid sustainability models that systematically integrate traditional ecological knowledge and technology are expected to produce synergistic benefits, such as improved resource efficiency, increased ecosystem resilience, and greater social inclusivity. Case studies and scenario simulations demonstrate that the co-design of solutions, such as the integration of tradition-based ecological monitoring with remote sensing and digital mapping, yields more comprehensive, adaptive, and culturally relevant strategies for enhancing climate resilience and protecting biodiversity. The integrative stewardship scores and resilience quotients introduced in this study serve as comparative metrics, indicating that hybrid models demonstrate superior performance over pure-paradigm approaches across the majority of simulated policy and environmental scenarios. For instance, drought scenarios simulated using agent-based methods demonstrated that communities utilizing integrated approaches exhibited more efficient responses, sustained ecosystem services for extended periods, and adapted policies more rapidly compared to those that depended solely on traditional ecological knowledge or technology.

IV. DISCUSSION

The integration of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) with technology-driven approaches in sustainability represents more than just a simple combination of past and present practices; it embodies a deep, ongoing dialogue among various knowledge systems, ethical implications, and practical applications. Recent literature illustrates that utilizing these distinct paradigms requires meticulous consideration of trade-offs, governance, participation, and adaptivity. This section presents a thorough examination of the implications, risks, and transformative potential of the dual-framework in relation to global sustainability initiatives [16]. TEK demonstrates essential strengths, encompassing enduring environmental stewardship, significant site-specific adaptation, and a diverse biocultural heritage, all grounded in collective memory and established practices. Nevertheless, given the escalating urgency of sustainability challenges influenced by climate change, demographic changes, and economic transformations, it is clear that low-tech solutions may prove insufficient in addressing pressing issues such as waste management, energy transitions, and rapid habitat restoration. Recent observations concerning Singapore's Marina One and Tesla's energy storage solutions demonstrate that the contextual implementation of green technology can improve efficiency, optimize resource use, and produce favorable environmental results on a significant scale. This method aids in diminishing energy use and fostering biodiversity, dependent on robust governance and successful integration into local systems [17]. Conversely, technology-driven methods, particularly those relying on advanced analytics and IoT enable real-time data acquisition, predictive modeling, and scalable mitigation, but expose social-ecological systems to new forms of risk, like overreliance on infrastructure, digital exclusion, and disruptions caused by system failures. The measurement and documentation of a technology's total carbon footprint, such as the climate impacts traced in blockchain and AI deployment, highlight the paradoxes of tech sustainability: innovation can accelerate positive change, but also introduce unintended consequences [18]. The emphasis on "the power of and," as advanced by contemporary theorists and UN climate advocates, reinforces the necessity of integrating all available tools to achieve future-ready sustainability. This includes recognizing the distinct purposes and pathways of both knowledge traditions and advanced innovations. The success of sustainability transitions has been significantly influenced by citizen participation and empowerment. The S-O-R (Stimulus-Organism-Response) framework reveals that the frequency and type of technology engagement drive greater pro-environmental behaviors and a sense of agency among citizens, notably within local energy communities and participatory management schemes. Gamification and social innovation platforms, by making sustainability interactive and rewarding, boost adoption and foster new habits, but they succeed only when connected to meaningful involvement and not superficial engagement [19].

Moreover, participatory modeling methods, especially within the contexts of energy and landscape management, enhance the effectiveness and reliability of collaborative initiatives, aligning technological solutions with local knowledge and preferences. The literature review of participatory approaches indicates that the incorporation of various knowledge systems and value frameworks promotes equitable representation, reduces the risks of exclusion or marginalization, and enhances adaptive capacity in response to systemic disruptions [20, 21]. The integration of ethical frameworks into digital initiatives and governance is becoming more prevalent in the field of sustainability, where the reliance on technology is increasingly contingent upon ethical innovation. In the context of organizational innovation processes, there exists a significant risk of overlooking environmental responsibility and social well-being if there is no designated role focused on sustainable digitalization or clearly defined responsibilities that emphasize ethical considerations. Empirical evidence has substantiated this claim. Centralized initiatives, such as CSR officers advocating for sustainability within corporate frameworks or acting in advisory roles within governmental bodies, facilitate the integration of ethical safeguards. However, these projects require ongoing support and organized management to avoid producing only tokenistic or surface results [22, 23]. Institutions must prioritize increasing equity. The potential for technology-driven solutions to increase socioeconomic inequities in locations with restricted access, poor literacy levels, or inadequate infrastructure emphasizes the need for deliberate policies aimed at closing the digital gap and protecting vulnerable groups. The existing research on hybrid entrepreneurship in relation to sustainability transitions is extensive. The literature on hybrid entrepreneurship in sustainability transitions finds that justice, diversity, and fairness are necessary dimensions for just and inclusive transformations, especially as hybridity can amplify innovation but also introduce new social and regulatory complexities [24]. Hybrid models where TEK and technology converge provide both resilience and efficiency, if designed with respect for plurality, autonomy, and local priorities. Recent investigations into participatory modeling and scenario simulation highlight the efficacy of these integrated methodologies in improving adaptive capacity, accelerating mitigation initiatives, and fostering learning across diverse scales and contexts. An examination of archetypes within the interactions of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) reveals that synergy does not arise spontaneously; it necessitates intentional mediation, thorough metrics, and designs tailored to the specific context to transform potential trade-offs into tangible benefits [25, 26, 27]. Frameworks for corporate social responsibility and principles of the circular economy, informed by both traditional ecological knowledge and technological insights, uncover transformative pathways for industries and urban environments. These methodologies encourage regenerative practices and set new standards for social and ecological responsibility. Distributed and participatory planning processes, augmented by artificial intelligence and large datasets, offer avenues to democratize the design of policies, track sustainability impacts in real time, and continuously refine strategies for enhancement [28]. Despite its potential, the dual framework has significant challenges. Organizational frameworks, cognitive biases, and established siloed paradigms impede the incorporation of ethical and cultural aspects into technology design. The research underscores practical constraints, such as limited time, complexity, and perceived costs, which may lead to the marginalization of holistic models in favor of more immediate technocratic methods. Thus, enacting sustainable change requires not just technology innovations or expanded knowledge but also the establishment of structural reforms, incentives, and accountability mechanisms [29]. Ongoing research is essential to investigate effective participatory modeling, foster cross-disciplinary collaboration, and implement iterative policy evaluation drawing insights from both failures and successes. It is crucial to acknowledge the significance of context and understand that no singular solution can address the diverse sustainability challenges faced by societies globally.

Limitations and Critical Insights

The theoretical implications of these findings necessitate recognition of certain limitations. The lack of site-specific empirical data, combined with the intricate nature of socio-ecological systems, indicates that the projected indices should be regarded primarily as frameworks for guiding future research and policy evaluation. Furthermore, the potential risks associated with knowledge erosion, cultural marginalization, and unintended consequences arising from hybridization or the adoption of technology are identified as critical areas that require ethical safeguards and participatory governance.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The results underscore the imperative for equitable partnerships between Indigenous communities and policymakers, promoting an inclusive strategy wherein local objectives shape the formulation and implementation of initiatives. In sustainable science and governance, the facilitation of information exchanges among diverse perspectives is considered crucial, with cultural integrity and autonomy being maintained. Strong, scalable, and adaptable solutions to the pressing global environmental concerns that are faced will be implemented through this methodology, which will be critical. This theoretical projection posits that the amalgamation of traditional ecological knowledge along with technology not only safeguards cultural and ecological diversity but also possesses the capacity to formulate new benchmarks for evidence-based, great-impact sustainability practices in the forthcoming decades.

V. CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes the importance of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and technology-driven practices as vital and interconnected elements for achieving environmental sustainability. Traditional Ecological Knowledge, deeply rooted in Indigenous and local community wisdom, represents a dynamic and culturally embedded system that has historically promoted ecosystem stewardship, biodiversity conservation, and climate resilience through adaptive practices transmitted across generations. At the same time, technology-driven solutions offer unmatched capabilities in data collection, resource optimization, and rapid intervention, reaching levels of scale and speed that conventional methods cannot achieve. This study investigates the amalgamation of these paradigms, facilitating the utilization of their distinct advantages while mitigating their intrinsic constraints. A significant discovery is that the resilience of traditional knowledge systems resides not in their static preservation but in their capacity to evolve and assimilate new technologies, thereby sustaining their relevance in rapidly changing environments. Collaborating respectfully with Indigenous communities makes sustainability efforts stronger by combining the experiential knowledge and adaptability of Traditional Ecological Knowledge with the accuracy and scalability of modern technology. This synthesis helps ecosystems stay strong, keeps cultural practices going, and improves measures of resource efficiency. But there are still problems to solve. Cultural, ethical, and institutional barriers frequently obstruct equitable integration, jeopardizing the incorporation of Indigenous knowledge and exacerbating technological disparities. To build trust and give knowledge holders power, it is important to protect intellectual property rights, make governance more open, and make sure that everyone gets a fair share of the benefits. Additionally, it is important to be very careful about possible unintended effects, such as weak infrastructure or too much dependence on technology. In future endeavors, it is imperative that research prioritizes the empirical validation of theoretical models, the development of precise integrative metrics, and the execution of participatory pilot projects that assess hybrid frameworks across diverse social-ecological contexts. These efforts will improve policies based on evidence that honor different ways of knowing, promote fairness, and encourage regenerative stewardship around the world. In conclusion, combining traditional ecological knowledge with modern technology is necessary for making strategies that are strong, flexible, and fair in order to protect the environment around the world.

REFERENCES

[1] Papagiannidis, S., & Marikyan, D. (2022). Environmental sustainability: A technology acceptance perspective. International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102445.

[2] Xia, J., Wu, Z., & Chen, B. (2022). How digital transformation improves corporate environmental management: A review and research agenda. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10, 943843.

[3] Sinthumule, N. I. (2023). Traditional ecological knowledge and its role in biodiversity conservation: a systematic review. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 11, 1164900.

[4] Das, A., Das, P., Mandal, A., & Das, K. (2025). Integrating tribal perceptions and traditional ecological knowledge into ecosystem services management: A case study from the Barind region, Eastern India. Evolving Earth, 3, 100070.

[5] Khosravi Mashizi, A., Escobedo, F. The role of traditional ecological knowledge and ecosystem quality in managing ecosystem services. Sci Rep 15, 31510 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-17611-z

[6] Tehrani, Y. A. F., Sarkar, A., & Shetranjiwalla, S. (2025). Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into a circular economy framework using the example of consumer durable goods for northern rural communities. RSC Sustainability, 3(5), 2048-2063.

[7] Santos, M. R., & Carvalho, L. C. (2025). AI-driven participatory environmental management: Innovations,

applications, and future prospects. Journal of Environmental Management, 373, 123864.

[8] Sivaiah, P., & Vinodan, A. (2025). Framework for sustainable SME management- integrating innovation, technology and circular economy approach. Small Enterprise Research, 32(1), 26–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/13215906.2025.2513262

[9] Kannan, S., & Gambetta, N. (2025). Technology-driven sustainability in small and medium-sized enterprises: a systematic literature review. Journal of Small Business Strategy, 35(1), 129-157.

[10] Issah, W. B., Ferdous, L. T., Bhuiyan, F., & Sharif, T. (2024). Digitalisation and environmental management activities: The effects of family ownership. Business Strategy and the Environment, 33(5), 4351-4374.

[11] Bojórquez-Tapia, L. A., Pedroza-Páez, D., & Hernández-Aguilar, B. (2025). Incorporating scientific and traditional ecological knowledge into social impact assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 114, 107947.

[12] Das, A., Das, P., Mandal, A., & Das, K. (2025). Integrating tribal perceptions and traditional ecological knowledge into ecosystem services management: A case study from the Barind region, Eastern India. Evolving Earth, 3, 100070.

[13] Gómez-Baggethun E, Corbera E, Reyes-García V. Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Global Environmental Change: Research findings and policy implications. Ecol Soc. 2013 Dec 1;18(4):72. doi: 10.5751/ES-06288-180472. PMID: 26097492; PMCID: PMC4471132.

[14] Bawack, R., Roderick, S., Badhrus, A., Dennehy, D., & Corbett, J. (2025). Indigenous knowledge and information technology for sustainable development. Information Technology for Development, 31(2), 233–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2025.2472495

[15] Ijatuyi, E. J., Lamm, A., Yessoufou, K., Suinyuy, T., & Patrick, H. O. (2025). Integration of indigenous knowledge with scientific knowledge: A systematic review. Environmental Science & Policy, 170, 104119.

[16] Tanguy, A., Carrière, L., & Laforest, V. (2023). Low-tech approaches for sustainability: key principles from the literature and practice. Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy, 19(1), 2170143.

[17] Campfens, J. K., Duygan, M., & Binder, C. R. (2025). A review of participatory modelling techniques for energy transition scenarios. Advances in Applied Energy, 17, 100215.

[18] Weckowska, D., Weiss, D., Schwäbe, C., & Dreher, C. (2025). Technological innovation system analyses and sustainability Transitions: A literature review. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 54, 100935.

[19] Neves, C., Oliveira, T., & Sarker, S. (2025). Citizens' participation in local energy communities: the role of technology as a stimulus. European Journal of Information Systems, 34(1), 122-145.

[20] Zellner, M. L. (2024). Participatory modeling for collaborative landscape and environmental planning: From potential to realization. Landscape and Urban Planning, 247, 105063.

[21] English, P. B., Richardson, M. J., & Garzón-Galvis, C. (2018). From crowdsourcing to extreme citizen science: participatory research for environmental health. Annual review of public health, 39(1), 335-350.

[22] Ernst, L. E., & Matter, P. (2025). The ethical innovator: bridging the gap for integrating ethics into digital innovation practice. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 12(1), 2476246.

[23] Santiago, B. D. S., Scavarda, L. F., Gusmão Caiado, R. G., Santos, R. S., & Mattos Nascimento, D. L. D. (2025). Corporate social responsibility and circular economy integration framework within sustainable supply chain management: Building blocks for industry 5.0. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 32(1), 269-290.

[24] Colbourne, R., Ejaz, L., Grinevich, V., Husain, S., & O'Farrell, D. A. (2024). Hybrid entrepreneurship in just transitions: Dealing with dilemmas facing 'the other'. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 53, 100924.

[25] Nath, S., & Arrawatia, R. (2022). Trade-offs or synergies? Hybridity and sustainable performance of dairy cooperatives in India.

[26] Moallemi, E. A., Hosseini, S. H., Eker, S., Gao, L., Bertone, E., Szetey, K., & Bryan, B. A. (2022). Eight archetypes of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) synergies and trade-offs. Earth's Future, 10(9), e2022EF002873.

[27] Falconi, S. M., & Palmer, R. N. (2017). An interdisciplinary framework for participatory modeling design and evaluation—What makes models effective participatory decision tools?. Water Resources Research, 53(2), 1625-1645.

[28] Jiaxin Du, Xinyue Ye, Piotr Jankowski, Thomas W. Sanchez & Gengchen Mai (2024) Artificial intelligence enabled participatory planning: a review, International Journal of Urban Sciences, 28:2, 183-210, DOI: 10.1080/12265934.2023.2262427

[29] Voulvoulis, N., & Burgman, M. A. (2019). The contrasting roles of science and technology in environmental challenges. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 49(12), 1079-1106.