

Journal Home Page Available: <u>https://ijeab.com/</u> Journal DOI: <u>10.22161/ijeab</u>



Peer Reviewed

Effect of *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Tithonia diversifolia* and *Mezoneuron benthamianum* treatment time on agromorphological parameters of tomato in Daloa (Côte d'Ivoire)

N'Guettia Marie Yah^{*1}, N'dri Jacob Kouassi¹, Kouakou Abessika Georges Yao¹, N'guessan honorine Assouman, Atta Taky Hortense Diallo²

¹Department of Agroforestry, University Jean Lorougnon Guédé, BP 150 Daloa, Côte d'Ivoire. ²Department of Nature and Sciences, University Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire Corresponding author e-mail: <u>nguettiayah@gmail.com</u>

Received: 09 Oct 2021; Received in revised form: 15 Dec 2021; Accepted: 22 Dec 2021; Available online: 31 Dec 2021 ©2021 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract— The cultivation of tomato (Solanum lycopersicon L.) is confronted with many production problems related to the strong parasite pressure and especially to the fertility of soils. Thus, the effectiveness of powdery extracts of three plants (Alchornea cordifolia, Tithonia diversifolia and Mezoneuron benthamianum) was evaluated on the tomato crop and their ability to improve agromphological parameters. Quantities of 5 g and 15 g of these extracts were used to treat the cultivation soil one month, one week and the day of transplanting of tomato plants. The results showed that A. cordifolia, Tithonia diversifolia and Mezoneuron benthamianum significantly improved the growth parameters and development of the plants at the amounts of 5 g and 15 g, on. The efficacy of the extracts varied depending on the plant used, the concentrations and the period of soil treatment. These plant extracts have biostimulatory properties and can be used as renewable resources for healthy and sustainable agriculture.

Keywords—Alchornea cordifolia, Tithonia diversifolia, Mezoneuron benthamianum, extracts, symptoms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) is one of the most important vegetable crops in the world (Naika et al., 2005). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), it is the second most important vegetable crop after potatoes. It is cultivated in more than 170 countries of the world in various climates, including relatively cold regions thanks to the development of crops under cover (FAO, 2007). Global tomato production has grown significantly since 1978, when it increased from 48 million tons to over 180 million tons in 2017 (FAOSTAT, 2018). Tomato, is grown for its fleshy, highly sought after fruit, rich in phenolic antioxidants and then mineral elements such as lycopene, carotenoids, vitamins A, C and E (Daniel et al., 2012; Ignace et al., 2015). Tomato is one of the most important fruit vegetables in the human diet as it contributes to a healthy and balanced human diet that can be consumed fresh or processed [6] (Willcox et al., 2003).

En Côte Ivoire, fresh tomato production was estimated by the FAO in 2014 at 32,364 tons per year and increased to 44078 tons in 2018.Tomatoes are one of the main revenue crops in vegetable farming because many actors (producers and traders) make a living from tomato production and marketing (Coulibaly et al., 2019). However, environmental degradation is occurring due to the use of chemicals for both soil fertilization and plant treatments to increase tomato production.

In an effort to significantly reduce the use of chemicals, several studies have been conducted on biological control with satisfactory results. A study focused on composting showed that it improves crop yields compared to chemical fertilizers (Outéndé, 2016). In addition, the cost of compost

is low compared to the purchase of chemical fertilizers. Another study conducted on natural plant extracts showed that plants such as Moringa oleifera and Azadirachta indica can be used respectively in the control of aphids and caterpillars as well as for soil fertilization and (CORAF, 2010). It is in this context that our work aims to improve the cultivation of tomato through the use of plant extracts.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection and treatment of plant material

The plant material consisted of leaves of Alchornea cordifolia, Tithonia diversifolia, and Mezoneuron benthamianum. These leaves were collected in the locality of Daloa during the month of May 2020 and were used for the treatment of the tomato crop soil.

Fresh harvested leaves were shade dried at room temperature in the laboratory (25 to $27^{\circ}c\pm 2$) for three weeks, as exposure to sunlight often reduces their efficacy (Anjarwalla et al., 2016). Furthermore, the dried leaves were powdered separately using a grinding machine (RETSCH type: SK100/C Gusseinsen) to obtain the powdered ones.

Setting up the experimental plot

For the realization of the experiment, a plot of 68 m2 was weeded with a machete and then weeded with a daba. Then 6 ridges of 3 m by 3.5 m were made. On each ridge 7 lines separated by 40 cm were made and on each line 6 sowing points separated by 50 cm were also made. The experimental set-up consisted of two completely randomized blocks of 6 ridges. Each ridge constituted a treatment and each treatment consisted of 7 lines. Each treatment was repeated twice.

Treatments

Quantities of 5 and 15 g of *Alchornea cordifolia, Tithonia diversifolia,* and *Mezoneuron benthamianum* powders were weighed for the treatment of the crop soil at the level of the pits. These treatments were performed as follows:

- Treatment 1: cultivation soil treated with the powdery extracts on the day of transplanting

- Treatment 2: cultivation soil treated with powdery extracts one week before transplanting

- Treatment 3: cultivation soil treated with powdery extracts one month before transplanting.

Transplanting of plants

Tomato plants aged 21 days were transplanted at the level of the sowing points made with the different treatments. Watering was done twice a day for eight weeks and once a day until harvest. Regular weeding was done to maintain the plot. The staking of the plants was carried out four weeks after transplanting.

Data collection

Plant survival rate, growth and yield parameters were evaluated. Plant survival rate was recorded at three days, seven days and two weeks after transplanting. The survival rate was calculated according to formula (1).

$$\mathbf{Ts} = \frac{\mathrm{nps}}{\mathrm{np}} \times 100 \ (1)$$

Ts: survival rate, nps: number of surviving plants, np: total number of plants

Growth parameters such as number of leaves per plant, plant height (cm), leaf area (cm²) and number of branches were recorded from three weeks (21 days) after transplanting and the operation was repeated every two weeks until fruit ripening. The mean values were then calculated according to the formula (2) :

$$\mathbf{y_m} = \Sigma \mathbf{x_i} / \mathbf{x_p} \tag{2}$$

ym: average observed parameter; xi: observed parameter; xp: total number of plants observed.

Yield parameters were recorded from four weeks for the number of flowers and five weeks for the number of fruits. **Statistical Analysis**

Data were subjected to a multi-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using STATISTCA 7.1 software. ANOVA was used to evaluate the effect of the extracts of the three plants on the agro-morphological parameters and the incidence and severity of diseases. When a significant difference was observed (P<0.05) between the different factors, multiple comparisons were performed using the LSD Fisher test at the 5% threshold.

III. RESULTS

Effect of *A. cordifolia, T. diversifolia* and *M. benthamianum* extracts on tomato plant survival rates The survival rates of tomato plants ranged from 80.50 to 100 %. These rates were higher for the *A. cordifolia*, (94.44 to 100 %) and *T. diversifolia* (91.67 to 97.17 %) treatments one week and one month before transplanting. On the other hand, for *M. benthamianum*, it is rather the treatments on the day of transplanting and one week before transplanting at the quantity 5 g that gives the best survival rates. However, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the survival rates with the different treatments of the extracts of the three plants (Table 1

Quantity C0	A. cordifolia 93.28	T. diversifolia	M. benthamianum
C0	93.28	02.20	
	<i>, e</i> , <i>e e</i>	93,28	93,28
C1	80,50	94,33	97,17
C2	88,67	100	100
C1	97,17	91,67	100
C2	100	97,17	88,67
C1	94,33	97,17	88,83
C2	100	97,17	80,50
	0,11	0,64	0,25
	C2 C1 C2 C1 C2 C2	C2 88,67 C1 97,17 C2 100 C1 94,33 C2 100 0,11 0,11	C2 88,67 100 C1 97,17 91,67 C2 100 97,17 C1 94,33 97,17 C2 100 97,17 C1 94,33 97,17 C2 100 97,17 C1 94,33 97,17 C2 100 97,17 0,11 0,64

 Table 1 : Survival rates of tomato plants according to treatments, concentrations and extracts of A. cordifolia, T. diversifolia

 and M. benthamianum

P: Probability; 1, 2, 3: soil treated on the day of transplanting, one week and one month before transplanting CO: Control; C1: 5 g dose; C2: 15 g dose.

Effects of *A. cordifolia*, *T. diversifolia* and *M. benthamianum* extracts on agromorphological parameters of tomato

The effectiveness of the plant extracts used varied according to the plant species and agromorphological parameters studied. Thus, statistical analysis showed a significant difference (P< 0.05) in the span, leaf area and number of flowers. However, plant size, number of leaves, crown circumference, number of branches and number of fruits were not influenced by the type of extract (P > 0.05) (Table 2). Also, *Alchornea* obtained the highest values in some parameters.

Table 2: Agromor	phological	parameters of toma	to according to	plant extracts
10010 2. 118,0000	photosicui	paramerers of roma	ie accortaing ie	provin com acro

		-		-	-	-		
Plantes	ТМ	NFE	EM	SFM	DM	NRM	NFL	NFR
Achornea	25.70±1.10	21.60±1.08	$51.76{\pm}1.87^a$	$590.37{\pm}30.04^{a}$	5.60±0.19	4.75±0.31	9.90±0.64ª	6.513±0.54
Tithonia	24.72±1.11	20.10±1.02	48.60±1.79 ^b	527.72±28.23 ^b	5.37±0.17	4.16±0.26	9.03±0,59 ^{ab}	5.83±0.55
Mezoneuron	25.30±1.12	19.57±1.05	49.12±1.83b	$544.75{\pm}29.50^{ab}$	5.32±0.18	4.18±0.26	8.21 ± 0.56^{b}	5.65 ± 0.50
Témoin	23.89±3.24	19.19±2.5	47.20±5.14c	509.70±76.45c	5.15±0.46	4.12±0.61	8.88±1.43b	5.42±1.28
Р	0.49	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.11	0.04	0.28

P: probability ; Values with the same letters in the same column are statistically equal. TM: mean plant size; NFE: mean number of leaves; EM: mean plant span; SFM: mean leaf area of plant; CM: mean crown circumference; NRM: mean number of branches; NFL: number of flowers; NFR:

Effects of *A. cordifolia* extracts on agromorphological parameters of tomato

Agromorphological parameters of tomato plants treated with *A. cordifolia* varied with concentration and soil treatment period. Thus, the concentration 15 g (C2) of the soil treated one week before transplanting (treatment 2) recorded the highest values for the agromorphological parameters, except for the number of branches, whose highest value was obtained at the concentration 5 g (C1) of the soil treated one month before transplanting (treatment 3). The smallest values were obtained at the concentration 15 g (C2) of the treated soil on the day of transplanting (treatment 1). Statistical analysis showed a highly significant effect (P<0.01) of the treatments performed on the different parameters studied (Table 3).

Effects of *T. diversifolia* extracts on agromorphological parameters of tomato

The effect of *T. diversifolia* also varied according to concentrations and treatments on agromorphological parameters. Statistical analysis showed a highly significant difference (P<0.01) among the studied parameters. The concentration 5 g (C1) of the soil treated one month before transplanting (treatment 3) recorded the highest values for the different parameters, except for the number of flowers, neck circumference and number of fruits, for which the highest values were obtained at the concentration 15 g (C2) of the same treatment (Table 4).

	Q								
Т	Т	ТМ	NFE	EM	SFM	DM	NRM	NFL	NFR
		23.89±3.24a	19.19±2.52a	47.20±5.14a			4.12±0.61a	8.88±1.43b	5.42±1.28a
	C0	c	c	b	509.70±76.45c	5.15±0.46c	с	с	b
1	C1	25.45±3.48 ^{cd}	21.28±3.23 ^{ab}	55.47±6.11 ^b	643.0695.44 ^{bd}	5.37±0.54 ^c 4 44+0 45 ^b	4.46±0.77 ^{ac}	8.03±1.34 ^{ed}	6.34±1.52 ^{ab}
	C2	20.25 ± 3.09^{d}	18.59±2.54 ^{ac}	45.03±5.88 ^{ac}	480.00±90.80 ^{ef}	с	3.21±0.59 ^{ac}	4.91 ± 1.13^{j}	3.19±0.99 ^{ac}
				53.56±5.50 ^b	572.09±81.31°				
2	C1	26.42 ± 3.76^{bc}	$21.97{\pm}3.37^{ab}$	c	d	5.34±0.48c	3.83 ± 0.63^{ac}	9.03 ± 1.52^{bc}	7.09 ± 1.66^{b}
					903.47±115.93			13.92 ± 2.00	12.97 ± 2.28
	C2	30.58 ± 3.59^{a}	$32.44{\pm}4.35^{a}$	$65.56{\pm}6.07^{a}$	a	7.26 ± 0.66^{a}	$5.92{\pm}0.78^{b}$	a	a
					574.69±81.26°	6.30±0.56		14.78±3.34	
3	C1	$27.46{\pm}2.85^{ab}$	24.72 ± 4.26^{b}	52.53±5.95°	d	b	$7.75{\pm}1.62^{a}$	а	7.28 ± 1.95^{b}
					610.62 ± 81.76^{a}	6.25 ± 0.44		12.00 ± 1.92	
	C2	$29.46{\pm}3.18^{\text{b}}$	18.47±2.31 ^{ac}	$52.06 \pm 4.55^{\circ}$	b	b	$5.21{\pm}0.92^{ab}$	c	$5.50{\pm}1.19^{ab}$
Р		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

 Table 3 : Agromorphological parameters of tomato plants treated with Alchornea cordifolia powder extracts according to treatments and concentrations

P: Probability; Values with the same letters in the same column are statistically equal. TM: average size; NFE: average number of leaves; EM: average span; SFM: average leaf area; DM: average diameter at the crown; NRM: average number of branches; NFL: number of flowers; NFR: number of fruits. 1, 2, 3: soil treated on the day of transplanting, one week and one month before transplanting; CO: Control; C1: 5 g dose; C2: 15 g dose; QT: Quantity, T= Traitement

 Table 4 : Agromorphological parameters of tomato plants treated with Tithonia diversifolia powder extracts according to treatments and concentrations

Т	Q T	ТМ	NFEM	EM	SFM	DM	NRM	NFL	NFR
		23.89±3.24a	19.19±2.52a	47.20±5.14a			4.12±0.61a	8.88±1.43b	5.42±1.28a
	C0	c	c	b	509.70±76.45c	5.15±0.46c	с	с	b
			20.31±3.17a	46.28±5.65b	480.62±74.03b	5.00±0.52a	3.62±0.63a	7.59±1.44a	4.44±1.20a
	C1	20.42±3.06c	c	c	c	b	b	с	с
					587.34±91.37a	5.57±0.58a		9.50±1.50a	5.59±1.34a
1	C2	20.29±3.51c	22.78±3.55b	54.81±6.34b	b	b	$5.08\pm0.69b$	b	b
				42.84±4.23a	414.90±73.02b				3.75±1.18e
	C1	$27.29 \pm 3.02b$	15.19±2.12c	c	c	4.81±0.44c	2.50±0.63c	4.97±1.08c	с
				45.87±4 .94b	477.12±72.73b	5.17±0.43a		$5.47 \pm 1.03b$	4.22±1.29a
2	C2	27.42±3.27b	17.69±2.61c	c	c	b	2.67±0.61j	с	c
					679.81±114.62			14.59±2.76	
	C1	28.67±4.15a	22.62±3.33b	55.75±6.07a	a	5.97±0.53b	5.79±1.06a	a	7.69±1.59b
				50.28±4.87a	580.62±83.90a			12.75 ± 2.15	10.50 ± 3.15
3	C2	26.71±3.14b	25.37±4.16a	b	b	6.35±0.65a	5.08±0.92b	b	a
	Р	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

P: Probability; Values with the same letters in the same column are statistically equal. TM: average size; NFE: average number of leaves; EM: average span; SFM: average leaf area; DM: average diameter at the crown; NRM: average number of branches; NFL: number of flowers; NFR: number of fruits. 1, 2, 3: soil treated on the day of transplanting, one week and one month before transplanting; CO: Control; C1: 5 g dose; C2: 15 g dose; QT: Quantity, T= Traitement

Effects of *M. benthamianum* extracts on agromorphological parameters of tomato

As for *M. benthamianum*, statistical analysis also showed a highly significant difference (P<0.01) between agromorphological parameters (Table 5). Thus, the soil

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.66.41 treated one week before transplanting (treatment 2) recorded the highest values of the agromorphological parameters at concentration 5 g (C1), except for the number of flowers whose highest value was obtained at concentration C2 of the soil treated one month after

transplanting (treatment 3). The smallest values were obtained at concentrations C1 and C2 of the treated soil on the day of transplanting (treatment 1).

Table 5 : Agromorphological parameters of tomato plants treated with Mezoneuron benthamianum concentrations powd	ler
extracts according to treatments and concentrations	

Т	QT	ТМ	NFE	EM	SFM	DM	NRM	NFL	NFR
	C0	23.89±3.24ab	19.19±2.52bc	47.20±5.14bc	509.70±7.,45e	5.15±0.46b	4.12±0.61ab	8.88±1.43bc	5.42±1.28ab
	C1	22.00±3.32bc	15.03±2.38ac	48.65±5.76bc	481.34±77.56c	5.14±0.54b	2.62±0.46ac	4.72±1.15bc	2.19±0.81c
1	C2	19.81±3.71c	16.82±4.32ac	37.61±5.44c	325.36±61.53bc	3.85±0.56c	2.28±0.90c	3.21±1.22c	3.14±1.56ac
	C1	32.71±3.28a	28.75±4.21a	65.09±6.13a	846.50±11.42a	6.60±0.62a	6.62±0.86a	10.94±2.05b	11.34±2.22a
2	C2	24.08±3.41ab	$20.37{\pm}3.88ab$	44.78±6.09bc	$528.78{\pm}108.20ab$	4.65±0.59ac	3.75±0.86ac	5.16±1.27bc	4.94±1.63ac
	C1	27.87±3.30b	16.66±1.86ac	52.34±4.81b	585.78±74.68ab	5.73±0.42b	4.67±0.58ab	11.00±1.83b	5.92±1.09ab
3	C2	28.87±3.24b	21.25±2.69b	50.56±4.31ab	578.50±77.65ab	6.27±0.52a	5,04±0,83b	11.81±1.87ab	6.72±1.27b
	Р	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

P: Probability; Values with the same letters in the same column are statistically equal. TM: average size; NFE: average number of leaves; EM: average span; SFM: average leaf area; DM: average diameter at the crown; NRM: average number of branches; NFL: number of flowers; NFR: number of fruits. 1, 2, 3: soil treated on the day of transplanting, one week and one month before transplanting; CO: Control; C1: 5 g dose; C2: 15 g dose; QT: Quantity , T= Traitement

IV. DISSCUSSION

Tomato is a very demanding crop in terms of water but also in terms of mineral elements, which makes the periodic replenishment of the soil reserves in these elements essential to maintain a good productivity. The powdery extracts of *T. diversifolia*, *A. cordifolia* and *M. benthamianum* were used to improve the fertility parameters of tomato growing soil.

The results show that the survival or recovery rates of the seedlings did not vary from one treatment to another, but the values remained high (80 to 100%). This result shows that the treatments performed influenced the recovery of the seedlings or that the vigor of the seedlings used favored their recovery. Indeed, the work of Ojetayo et al. (2011) and Musas (2012) showed that the recovery rates of vegetable plants from the nursery does not depend on the mineral elements contained in the soil but rather on the vigor of the plants. Also the work of Soro et al. 2008 showed that the age of transplanting of nursery tomato plants influences the mortality rate of the plants. These authors showed that plants aged 21 to 25 days are more resistant to attacks by Pythium sp. responsible for the death of young plants. Treatments performed on tomato plants showed that the powdery extracts of the three plants applied four weeks before transplanting of the plants was more effective on morphological and production parameters of tomato. This could be explained by the synchronization of nutrient release from the extracts during their decomposition and assimilation by the plant. Indeed, Cobo et al. (2002) showed

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.66.41 that the rate of decomposition of organic matter and the increase in yields was closely related to the timing between the release of nutrients and their assimilation by the plant. The various extracts incorporated into the soil thus appear to have a suitable decomposition rate that allowed the plant to assimilate a large proportion of the nutrients released during the decomposition of the organic matter. Also, an increase in parameters such as, number of flowers, spread and leaf area was recorded on the plants whose soils were treated with Alchornea cordifolia. This would result from the importance and the quantity of nutrients such as phosphorus, nitrogen, potassium contained in this extract which contribute to the nutrition of the plant. Indeed, Alchornea cordifolia is a plant that is found in Ivorian fallow soils and contributes to increase the organic matter of the soil. The effectiveness of the extracts varied according to the plants and the concentrations. Thus, A. cordifolia was more effective at the 5g concentration (C2), while T. diversifolia and M. benthamianum were more effective at the 15g concentration (C1). This seems to be due to the fact that the plant extracts would have different effects on the growth parameters and development of the plants. This is in agreement with the results of NARC work on Garcinia kola in 2012 which found a variation in growth parameters depending on the substrate. The improvement of the growth parameters suggest that these extracts have inhibitory properties of soil pathogens. The anti-parasitic effects of plant extracts have been reported by different authors. Thus Kankam & Sowley (2006), demonstrated that

the amendment of infested chilli plants by extracts of A. indica leaves allows a significant decrease of nematode populations. These results concur with those of Asare-Bediako et al. (2014) who highlighted the ability of extracts of A. indica, C. papaya, Allium sp., Capsicum sp. (Solanaceae), Anacardium sp. (Anacardiaceae) to minimize the severity of whitefly virosis and increase the yield of treated plots. This is explained by the fact that the extracts of these plants contain chemical substances such as terpenoids, steroidal heterosides, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, carbohydrates that act on the pest population and thus reduce their infections.

V. CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that the powdery extracts of A. cordifolia, T. diversifolia and M. benthamianum had satisfactory effects on the improvement of growth and development parameters of tomato plants. However, only the Alchornea treatments had more significant effects on the studied parameters. For the different concentrations of plant extracts studied, C1 (5 g) gave the best results. It is therefore the optimal dose for treatment efficiency. The results also showed that the treatment of the soil one week before transplanting gave the best agromorphological parameters for A. cordifolia and M. benthamianum contrary to T. diversifolia which records the highest parameters when the treatments are done one month before transplanting. The work carried out showed the capacity of the extracts of these plants to be used to boost quantitatively and qualitatively the production of tomato

REFERENCES

- Anjarwalla P., Belmain S., Sola P., Jamnadass R. et Stevenson P. (2016). Optimisation des plantes pesticides : technologie, Innovation, sensibilisation réseaux. *Guide des plantes pesticides*, Kenya, 1 (4) : 63, 4-15.
- [2] Asare-Bediako E., Addo-Quaye A. and Bi-Kusi. (2014). Comparative Efficacy of Phytopesticides in the Management of Podagrica spp and Mosaic Disease on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 4(8): 879-889.
- [3] Coulibaly N., Fondio L., N'gbesso M. et Doumbia B. (2019). Evaluation des performances agronomiques de quinze nouvelles lignées de tomate en station au centre de la Côte d'Ivoire. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci. 13(3): 1565-1581.
- [4] Cobo J.G., Barrios E., Kaas D. and Thomas R.J. (2002). Nitrogen mineralization and crop uptake from surface-applied leaves of green manure species on a tropical volcanic-ash soil, biology and fertility of soils, 36: 87-92.
- [5] CORAF. (2010). Cultures maraîchères des extraits végétaux à la place des insecticides de synthèse. Producteurs et Utilisateurs au Centre de la Recherche Agricole ,16p

- [6] Daniel C., Alphonse A., Jacques B., Romaric E., Ulrich G. etElvis J. (2012). Inventaire préliminaire de l'entomofaune des champs de tomates (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) dans la Commune de Djakotomey au Bénin. Int. J. Biological. Chemical. Science, 6(4) : 1798-1804.
- [7] FAO. 2007. FAO statistic. Côte Ivoire, www.faostat.fao.org/site/612/default.aspx#ancor (consulté le 11/11/2020).
- [8] FAOstat. 2018. Record historique de la production mondiale de tomate. Côte Ivoire <u>www.hortitecnews.com</u> (consulté 10/05/2020).
- [9] Ignace S., Moumouni K., Constantin D., Lamousssa P., Valérie B., Adama H., Charlemagne G., Eloi P. et Roger H. (2015). Etude de l'influence des modes de transformation sur les teneurs en lycopène de quatre variétés de tomates de la région du nord du Burkina Faso. Int. J. Biological. Chemical. Science, 9(1): 362-370.
- [10] Kankam F. and Sowley E. (2016). Evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica* L.) products for the control of root-knot nematode of chilli pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protection, 49(5-6) : 111-119.
- [11] Maisara M. (2015). Sources naturelles de la résistance contre les nématodes à galles *Meloidogyne javanica* chez la plante modèle *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Thèse de Doctorat : Biologie. Paris-Sud : Université Paris-Sud, 114p.
- [12] Musas N.N., (2012). Valorisation agronomique des bio déchets et gestion de la fertilité des sols en agriculture urbaine et périurbaine : Effets des doses croissantes des engrais minéraux, des fèces humaines et de leur combinaison sur la production de l'oignon (*Allium cepa*) et de l'épinard (*Spinacia oleracea*). Mémoire de fin d'études, faculté des sciences agronomiques, Unilu, 43 p.
- [13] Soro S., Doumbouya M., Koné D. et Kouadio Y.J., Potentiel infectieux des sols de cultures de tomate (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) sous abri et incidence de l'âge de repiquage sur la vigueur des plants vis-à-vis de *Pythium* sp. à Songon Dabou en Côte d'Ivoire. *Tropicultura*, 26(3) (2008) 173-178. French.
- [14] Naika S., Jeude J. V., Goffau M., Hilmi M., Van dam B. et Florijn A. 2005. La culture de la tomate : production, transformation et commercialisation, Agrodo, 17, 9 p.
- [15] Ojetayo A.E., Olaniyi J.O., Akanbi W.B. and Olabiyi T.I. (2011). Effect of fertilizer types on nutritional of two cabbage varieties before and after storage. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 48 3322 – 3330.
- [16] Outéndé. T. 2016. Evaluation des caractéristiques chimiques et agronomiques de cinq composts de déchets et étude de leurs effets sur les propriétés chimiques du sol, la physiologie et le rendement du maïs (*Zea mays* L. Var. Ikenne) et de la tomate (*Lycopersicum esculentum* L. Var. Tropimech) sous deux régimes hydriques au Togo. Mémoire de thèse en Biologie Végétale Appliquée, Université de Lome en Cotutelle Avec L'université De Limoges, 195 p.
- [17] Willcox J., Catignani G. and Lazarus S. 2003. Tomatoes and cardiovascular health. Critical Review in food Science and Nutrition, 43(1): 1-18.

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.66.41