Effect of some Bacterial Bioagents against Root-Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita* race2) _{Gitanjali Devi*, L.C. Bora}

*Department of Nematology, ²Department of Plant-Pathology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India Email: gitanjali_devi@yahoo.in

Abstract-The effect of culture filtrate of different bacterial isolates on egg hatching and juvenile mortality of root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita race 2) was studied in vitro and the effective strains were selected. The per cent mortality and hatching inhibition was proportional to the concentration of culture filtrate and the duration of exposure period. Culture filtrate of all the isolates of bacteria significantly induced mortality and inhibition of egg hatching of M. incognita juveniles. The highest percentage of inhibition of egg hatching was recorded for Bacillus thuringiensis followed by Bacillus sp. and Pseudomonas fluorescens whereas the highest percentage of mortality of juvenile was recorded for Bacillus thuringiensis followed by Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas sp.

Keywords: Rhizospheric bacteria, egg hatching, juvenile mortality, culture filtrate, duration of exposure period, root-knot nematode.

I. INTRODUCTION

Root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita race 2 is one of the major constraints in crop production in Assam. Present strategies for nematode management largely depend on cultural practices such as crop rotations, use of resistant varieties and use of nematicides which has several disadvantages. Utilization of biocontrol agent is of advantage over the above mentioned nematode management strategies. Many species of Pseudomonas and Bacillus have been reported as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) which produces iron-chelating siderophores, antibiotics or hydrogen cyanide, and these compounds have been implicated in the reduction of deleterious and pathogenic rhizosphere microorganisms, creating an environment more favourable for root growth[1]. It has been demonstrated that bio-agents produce different metabolites and antibiotics which directly or indirectly stimulate plant growth [2]. A number of bacterial species has been used as biocontrol agents against Meloidogyne spp. [3-12]. However, few biocontrol products are currently

commercially available and it is necessary to find different strains of antagonistic bacteria for controlling plantparasitic nematodes more efficiently. Therefore, a laboratory bioassay was carried out to test some of the isolated strains of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* for their nematicidal activity.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS Isolation of bacteria

A survey was conducted during Feb 2018 to May 2018 in different localities of the district Jorhat, Assam comprising an area approximately 500 ha, in order to identify the nematodes infection and to isolate the bacterial antagonists from crops rhizosphere viz. cucurbits, tomato, brinjal, okra, cabbage, banana, citrus, and tea. A total of 50 soil (500 g) sample were collected from the rhizosphere of each crop. Serial dilution technique was used for the isolation of bacterial strain. One g of rhizosphere soil was dispensed in 9 ml sterile water, from the 10^{-6} dilution, 50 µl were inoculated over Petri plates containing Nutrient Agar and King's B agar media (autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min) separately. The plates were incubated at room temperature 28±2°C for 48-72h.The colonies obtained on plates were picked and streaked on more plates and purified by restreaking. The isolates were initially categorized into two broad groups based on Gram staining by Hucker's modified method [13]. The isolated strains were identified by up to generic level by Bergey's manual and using standard protocol [14, 15]. Two isolates namely Pseudomonas sp. and Bacillus sp. were collected from soil rhizosphere of cowpea and banana respectively. Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus thuringiensis, B.brevis were procured from the Department of Plant Pathology, AAU, Jorhat, Assam. All the strains were evaluated for nematicidal properties against nematodes.

Nematode inoculum and mass culturing

The inoculum of root-knot nematode *M. incognita* race 2 was collected from naturally infested tomato crop in field and single egg mass was used to raise pure culture. Mass culturing of nematodes was done on tomato variety Sel 7, in

order to get regular supply of the inoculums for the experiments. One month old tomato seedlings were inoculated with small volume of egg suspension approximately consisting of 2000 eggs of *M.incognita* race 2. These pots were watered and kept in glasshouse at temperature $28-35^{\circ}$ C.

Preparation of culture filtrate and test for nematoxicity of bacterial culture filtrate

The bacterial isolates were inoculated in the respective medium (40 ml). *Pseudomonas* on King's B broth and *Bacillus* on Nutrient broth were separately incubated at 30°C on a shaker for 48 hrs. The liquid culture was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper and passed through bacterial filter[16].Filtrates were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was taken and the suspended residue was discarded.

Juvenile mortality test

One ml of S/2, S/8, S/32 dilutions of each cell-free culture filtrates was mixed with 1 ml nematode suspension (containing ca. 100 *M.incognita* J2) in cavity block to obtain S/4, S/16 and S/64 dilutions and tested for per cent mortality of nematodes. There were 3 replications for each treatment. Observations were taken after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. The immobile J2 were confirmed for mortality by randomly disturbing with a needle. Sterile water and medium alone served as control. The per cent juvenile mortality was calculated by the following formula.

Percent mortality =
$$\frac{\text{Total number of dead juveniles}}{\text{Total number of juveniles}} X 100$$

Egg hatching test

To determine the effect of culture filtrate on the hatching of eggs of J_2 of *M. incognita* eggmasses of *M.incognita* were dissolved in NaOCl to release individual eggs [17]. Sterilized Petri dishes of 5 cm dia were separately pipette 1 ml of culture filtrate with dilution S/2, S/8 and S/32. Hundred eggs of *M.incognita* in 1 ml water suspension

were transferred to each dish. Medium alone and water alone served as control. All Petri dishes were kept at $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C, replicated thrice. Observations were recorded on after 5, 10 and 15 days. The per cent egg hatch was calculated by the following formula.

Hatching % =
$$\frac{\text{No. of hatched juveniles}}{\text{No. of hatched + unhatched eggs}} X 100$$

Statistical analysis

Per cent egg hatch and per cent mortality data was subjected to statistical analysis using the three factorial completely randomized design statistical package. The critical differences in main effects i.e. isolates, concentration, and time of exposure as well as in their interactions were tested at P=0.05.

III. RESULTS

Two numbers of isolate of bacteria were isolated from the root rhizosphere of *M.incognita* race 2 infected plants. *Bacillus sp.* and *Pseudomonas* sp. were isolated from rhizospheric soil of banana and brinjal, respectively. The results presented revealed significant differences in juvenile mortality of *M.incognita* among isolates (biocontrol agent) (T), concentration of culture filtrate(C) and exposure period (t).

The data showed in the Table 1 revealed that all the culture filtrates of bacterial isolates were having nematicidal effect of varying degree on *M.incognita* race 2. Per cent mortality of nematodes was directly proportional to the concentration of culture filtrate and the period of exposure. Irrespective of concentration of culture filtrate(C) and duration of exposure six isolates name ly Bacillus sp., B.brevis (t), B.thuringiensis, Pseudomonas sp., P.florescence and P.aeruginosa were exhibited nematicidal effects on M. incognita J₂. Irrespective of concentration of culture filtrate and exposure period the mortality rates of *M. incognita* J₂ caused by *B.thuringiensis* reached 75.77% at which is at par with P.florescence (75.44%).All the new isolate namely Bacillus sp, and Pseudomonas sp displayed more than 40% juvenile mortality during 24 h exposure time at S/16 concentration of culture filtrate. On the other hand, *P.aeruginosa* showed the lowest toxicity, caused only 48% juvenile mortality S/64 at concentration of culture filtrate during 48 h exposure time. Irrespective of isolate and period of exposure the mortality rate of *M. incognita* J_2 , at lowest concentration (S/64) is 43.62% whereas at highest concentration (S/4) mortality rate is 51.66%. Similarly irrespective of isolate and culture filtrate concentration the mortality rate of *M*. incognita J_2 is highest (63.07%) at 72 h exposure period and lowest (34.85%) at 24h exposure period. B.thuringiensis and P.florescence showed highest toxicity (100% J2 mortality) at S/16 concentration of culture filtrate during 72 h exposure period. There was least effect of media on juvenile mortality of M. incognita.

The results presented in Table 2, revealed significant differences among isolates (T), concentration of culture filtrate(C) and exposure period (t) in egg hatching of *M. incognita.* Irrespective of concentration of culture filtrate (C) and time of exposure period (t), the culture filtrate of *B.thuringiensis* followed by, *Bacillus* sp., *P. fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas* sp. adversely affected the larval hatching of

M.incognita race 2. Similarly, irrespective of isolate (T) and concentration of culture filtrate (C), time of exposure (t) also affected the larval hatching. With increase in exposure period up to 15 days there was a correspondingly increased in egg hatching. Hatching of M. incognita J₂ is highest (57.03%) at 15 day exposure period and lowest (40.00%) at 5 day exposure period. With increase in the dilution of culture filtrate, the cumulative hatching was increased irrespective of isolate (T) and time of exposure period (t). Highest inhibition in hatching was obtained in S/4 concentration of each bacterial culture filtrates. The percentage hatching of M.incognita was 38% for 15 day of exposure period in the S/64 concentrations of culture filtrates of B.thuringiensis followed by Pseudomonas sp. with percentage hatching of 48. B.brevis and P.aeruginosa showed negligible effect on inhibition of egg hatching of M.incognita. There was negligible effect of culture media on hatching of *M.incognita*.

IV. DISCUSSION

Culture filtrates of several soil borne microbes are known to exhibit nematicidal action besides inhibiting larval emergence of plant parasitic nematodes. Antibiotics, extracellular enzymes and other toxic compounds present in metabolites of rhizobateria are probably involved in the increase of J_2 mortality and the inhibition of egg hatching[18]. The lethal effect of the cultural filtrates of these bacteria may be attributed to the production of nematicidal metabolites i.e. lytic enzymes (gelatinase, protease and chitinase) and volatile compounds in the cultural media. Similar results were reported by Ali et al. [19] who found that cultural filtrates of Pseudomonas sp. caused juvenile mortality of *M. javanica*. The nematicidal volatile products that were produced by Bacillus and were characterized to include mainly the benzene acetaldehyde, 2-nonanone, decanal, 2-undecanone and dimethyl disulphide, which were active against M. incognita juveniles and eggs at the concentration of 0.5 mmol [20]. Ahl et al., [21] proposed the mechanism of reduction of nematode population which was due to premature egg hatching and reduction in viability and mortality of juveniles induced by secondary metabolites such as 2,4 Diacetylphloroglucinol and lytic enzymes [22], antibiotics and hydrogen cyanide produced by Pseudomonas spp. and non cellular extract and toxic metabolites like bacillopeptidase, subtillin E and a lactamase from Bacillus spp. Regina et al. [23] and Hanna et al. [24] have reported that mortality of *M. incognita* increased with increase in exposure time as well as the concentration. The effects of rhizobacterial toxins include the suppression of nematode reproduction, egg hatching and juvenile survival, as well as direct killing of nematodes by causing paralysis and convulsive movements [4]. Dhawan et al. [25] evaluated four strains of Bacillus thuringiensis, and found that the mobility of *M.incognita* juveniles completely ceased after 24h exposure in S and S/10 dilutions. However, all dilutions above S/25 were ineffective. Production of surfactin by Bacillus is a characteristic that supports their persistence under extreme conditions [26, 27]. El-Hamshary et al. [28] found that Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas aeruginosa affected M. incognita juvenile survival in vitro study, and the mortality percentages of the nematode were dependent on the bacterial concentration and exposure time. Terefe et al. [29] found that an aqueous suspension of Bacillus firmus at 2.5 and 3% concentration caused 100% inhibition of mobility *M. incognita*, 24 hrs after treatment. The mortality percentage of Bacillus circulans (KSB2) at dilutions of 1/100 and 1/1000 were the highest inhibitors comparing with the other tested bacteria being 97.8 and 40.3%, respectively [30]. Xia et al. [31] reported that the culture supernatant of B. subtilis strains caused a higher mortality of *M. incognita* J₂. Nagesh *et al.* [32] observed that, cell-free culture filtrates of *B. cereus* reduced egg hatching (90%) and caused 100% mortality of juveniles. Bin et al. [33] mentioned that culture filtrates of rhizobacterium are heat stable and resistant to extreme pH values, which suggested that the antibiotic action might be responsible for the nematicidal activity. The exposure of M. incognita to various concentrations (5-100%) of cultural filtrate of Paenibacillus polymyxa in vitro conditions significantly reduced egg hatching and caused substantial mortality of its juveniles [34]. These results are similar with the findings of Ying et al. [35] who reported Bacillus spp. culture could significantly inhibiting the hatching of eggs and increases the mortality of second stage juveniles and reduce infection of the nematode through production of nematicidal volatiles. Antibiotic production viz., 2, 4 DAPG, phenazine and pyocyanine were also well pronounced in the Pseudomonas strain, Pf 128 through thin layer chromatography [36] .The failure to regain its activity of *M. incognita* after separating from culture filtrate of Pseudomonas spp. placed over sterile water demonstrates the numbers of metabolites that had longer systemic activity [37,38].

V. CONCLUSION

It is clear from this work that, there are many bacteria which have potentialities for controlling root-knot nematode in plant rhizosphere region. Among six bacterial isolates *Bacillus thuringiensis and Pseudomonas fluorescens* were exhibited the highest production of nematicidal activities against root-knot nematode *M. incognita* race 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Head of the Department, Department of Nematology for providing necessary facilities for conducting the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Siddiqui, Z. A. and Mahmood, I. 2006. Role of bacteria in the management of plant parasitic nematodes, *a review. Bioresource Technology* 69: 167-179
- [2] Kloepper. J. W., Zablotowiz, R. M., Tippimg, E. M. and Lifshitz, R. 1991. Plant growth promotion mediated by bacterial rhizosphere colonizers. In: The rhizosphereand plant growth. D.L. Keister and P.B. Cregan. (eds.).Kluwer Academic Publisher s, Dordr echt, the Netherlands. 315-326 pp.
- [3] Gokte N and Swarup G. 1988. On the potential of some bacterial biocides against root-knot and cyst nematodes. *Indin Journal of Nematology* 18: 152-153.
- [4] Siddiqui, Z.A. and Mahmood, I. 1999. Role of bacteria in the management of plant parasitic nematodes: A review. *Bioresource Technology* 69: 167-179.
- [5] Neipp, P.W. and Becker, J.O. (1999) Evaluation of biocontrol activity of rhizobacteria from *Beta vulgaris* against *Heterodera schachtii*. *Journal of Nematology* 31: 54-61.
- [6] Tian, H., Riggs, R.D. and Crippen, D.L. 2000. Control of soybean cyst nematode by chitinolytic bacteria with chitin substrate. *Journal of Nematology* 32: 370-376.
- [7] Siddiqui, I.A. and Shahid, S.S. 2003. Suppression of root-knot disease by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 in tomato: importance of bacterial secondary metabolite, 2,4-diacetylpholoroglucinol. *Soil Biology* and Biochemistry 35: 1615-1623.
- [8] El-Nagdi, W.M.A. and Youssef, M.M.A. 2004.Soaking faba bean seed in some bio-agents as prophylactic treatment for controlling *Meloidogyne incognita* root-knot nematode infection. *Journal of Pest Science***77**:75-78.
- [9] Huang, X.W., Tian, B.Y., Niu, Q.H., Yang, J.K., Zhang, L.M. 2005. An extracellular protease from *Brevibacillus laterosporus* G4 without parasporal crystals can serve as a pathogenic factor in infection of nematodes. *Research in Microbiology* 156:719-727.
- [10] Mendoza, A.R., Kiewnick, S.,and Sikora, R.A. 2008. In vitro activity of Bacillus firmus against the burrowing nematode Radopholus similis, the root-knot

Technology 18: 377-389.

Protection 43(6): 609-614.
[12] Wei, L.H., Xu, Q.Y., Wei, B.Q., Wang, Y.M.and Li, S.M. 2010. Screening of antagonistic bacterial strains against *Meloidogyne incognita* using protease activity. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 20: 739-750.

nematode Meloidogyne incognita and the stem

nematode Ditylenchus dipsaci. Biocontrol Science and

[11] Ashraf, S.M. and Khan, T.A. 2010. Integrated

- [13] Rangaswami, G. and Bagayaraj, D. J. 1993. Microbial Biotechnology. *In: Agricultural Microbiology*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 389-405 pp.
- [14] King EO, Ward MK. and Raney DE. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 11: 441-449.
- [15] Buchanan, R.E. and Gibbons, N.E. 1974. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins, 8th edition, pp. 13-45.
- [16] Aalten, P. M. and Gowen, S. R. 1998. Ento mopathogenic nematodes and fluorescent Pseudomonas rhizosphere bacteria inhib iting Radopholus similis invasion in banana roots. Brighton Crop Prot Conference of Pests Disease 2: 675-680
- [17] Hussy, R. S. and Barker, K. R. 1973. A comparison of method of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp. including a new technique. *Plant Disease Reporter* 57 (12): 1025-1028
- [18] Khan, T. A. and Hussain, S. I., 1984, Effect of culture filtrate of eight *Aspergillus* spp. on the hatching and mortality of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian Journal of Nematology* 14: 51-54.
- [19] Ali, N.I., Siddiqui, I.A., Shahid Shaukat, S.and Zaki, M.J. 2002. Nematicidal activity of some strains of *Pseudomonas* spp. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34: 1051–1058.
- [20] Lindberg ,GS. 1981. An antibiotic lethal to fungi. *Plant Disease* 65:680-683
- [21] Ahl, P., Voisarad, C. and Defago, G. 1986. Iron siderophores, cyanic acid and antibiotics involved in suppression of *Thielaviopsis basicola* by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain. *Journal of Phytopathology* **116**: 121-134
- [22] Dunne, C., Moenne-Loccoz, Y., McCarthy, J., Higgins, P.,Powell, J., Dowing, D. N. and Gara, F. O. 1998. Combining proteolytic and phloroglucinol-

producing bacteria for improved biocontrol of *Pythium*-mediated damping off of sugarbeet. *Plant Pathology* **47:**299-307.

- [23] Regina, M.D.G.C., DeSouza, I.S., Belarmino, L.C. 1998.Nematicidal activity of *Bacillus* spp. strains onjuveniles of *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Nematologia Brasileira* 22: 12-21.
- [24] Hanna, A. I., Riad, F. W. and Tawfik, A. E., 1999.
 Efficacy of antagonistic rhizobacteria on the control of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* intomato plants. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research* 77(4): 1467-1476.
- [25] Dhawan, S.C., Narayanan, R. and Babu, N.P. 2004. Biomanagement of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* incognita on okra by *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. Annals of Plant Protection of Sciences 12: 356-358
- [26] Kloepper, J. W., Ryu, C. M. and Zhang S. A. 2004 Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology* 94: 1259-1266.
- [27] Joshi, R. and Gardener, M. B., 2006. Identification of genes associated with pathogen inhibition in different strains of *B. subtilis*. *Phytopathology* **96**: 145-154.
- [28] El-Hamshary, O.I.M., El-Nagdi, W.M.A. and Youssef, M.M.A. 2004. Geneticalstudies and antagonistic effects of a newly bacterial fusant against*Meloidogyne incognita* root-knot nematode, infecting sunflower and plantpathogen, *Fusarium oxysporum. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology* 2(2):233-246
- [29] Terefe, M., Tefera, T. and Sakhuja, P.K. 2009. Effect of a formulation of *Bacillus firmus* on root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* infestation and the growth of tomato plants in the greenhouse and nursery. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **100**: 94-99.
- [30] El-Hadad, M. E., Mustafa, M. I., Selim, S. M., El-Tayeb, T. S., Mahgoob, A. E. A. and Abdel Aziz, N. H., 2011. The nematicidal effect of some bacterial biofertilizers on *Meloidogyne incognita* in sandy soil. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 42: 105-113.
- [31] Xia, Y.F., Xie, S.S., Ma, X., Wu, H.J., Wang, X. 2011. The *purL* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* is associated with

nematicidal activity. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **322**: 99-107.

- [32] Nagesh, M.; Asokan, R. and Mohan, K. S.2005. Partial characterization of novel nematicidal toxins from *Bacillus cereus* Frankland 1887 and their effect on root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood. *Journal of Biological Control* 19 (1): 65-69.
- [33] Bin, L., Guan-li,X., Soad,A. and Coosemans, J.2005. Suppression of *Meloidogyne javanica* by antagonistic and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Journal Zhejiang University Science* 6B(6): 496-501.
- [34] Khan Z, Kim,S.G.,Jeon,Y.H.,Khan,H.U.Son,S.H. and Kim ,Y.H.2008. A plant growth promoting rhizobacterium, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* strain GBR-1, suppresses root-knot nematode. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 3016–3023.
- [35] Ying, H., Chuankun, X., Li, M., Keqin, Z., Chang, Q. D. and Ming, M. 2010. Characterisation of volatiles produced from *Bacillus megaterium* YFM 325and their nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 126:417-422
- [36] Sankari Meena, K., Ramyabharathi, S. A. and Raguchander, T. 2014. Antimicrobial activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* in tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. 3rd International Conference on Agriculture & Horticulture October 27-29, 2014 Hyderabad International Convention Centre, India. Agrotechnology 2014, 2:4
- [37] Khan, Z., Park, S.D., Shin, S.Y., Bae, S.G., Yeon, I.K. and Seo, Y.J. 2005.Management of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato by root-dip treatment inculture filtrate of the blue-green algae, *Microcoleus vaginatus*. *Bioresource Technology* **96**: 1338-1341.
- [38] Kiewnick, S. and Sikora, R.A. 2006. Biological control of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne* incognita by *Paecilomyces lilacinus* strain 251. *Biological Control* **38**:179-187.

International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/4.1.9</u>

Treatment	Culture	Period of exposure(h)			Treatment	Culture filtrate	
	filtrate	24	48	72	(T)Mean	concentration	
	concentration					(C)Mean	
Bacillus sp.	S/64	36(36.85)	55(47.87)	85(67.21)	62.00(52.79)	43.62(39.61)	
	S/16	40(39.20)	58(49.62)	86(68.08)	_	48.85(44.26)	
	S/4	48(43.85)	60(50.79)	90(71.62)	1	51.66(46.20)	
B.brevis	S/64	38(38.04)	60(50.79)	70(56.79)	63.44(53.81)		
	S/16	42(40.38)	62(51.94)	90(71.94)			
	S/4	50(44.99)	66(54.33)	93(75.05)	-		
B.thuringiensis	S/64	55(47.86)	74(59.35)	75(60.00)	75.77(63.74)		
	S/16	60(50.76)	77(61.38)	100(90.00)			
	S/4	62(51.94)	79(62.74)	100(90.00)			
Pseudomonas sp.	S/64	46(42.70)	60(50.76)	72(58.11)	66.44(55.87)		
	S/16	50(44.99)	62(51.94)	93(74.34)			
	S/4	52(46.14)	68(55.64)	95(75.07)			
P.florescence	S/64	58(49.60)	74(59.35)	76(60.67)	75.44(63.52)	-	
	S/16	58(49.60)	75(60.00)	100(90.00)			
	S/4	60(50.76)	78(62.08)	100(90.00)			
P.aeruginosa	S/64	38(38.04)	48(43.85)	82(64.91)	59.55(51.13)		
	S/16	42(40.39)	52(46.14)	84(66.44)	-		
	S/4	48(43.85)	56(49.02)	86(68.05)			
Culture	S/64	6(14.04)	10(18.37)	20(26.54)	14.00(21.49)	-	
media(NA)	S/16	8(16.34)	12(20.7)	20(16.50)	-		
	S/4	10(18.37)	18(25.07)	22(27.94)	-		
Culture	S/64	8(16.34)	10(18.37)	16(23.54)	14.00(21.75)	1	
media(KB)	S/16	12(20.22)	12(20.22)	18(25.07)			
	S/4	14(21.93)	16(23.46)	20(26.54)			
Distilled water	S	0(0.00)	2(8.13)	4(11.27)	1.77(6.12)	1	
	S	0(0.00)	2(8.13)	4(11.27)			
	S	0(0.00)	2(8.13)	2(8.13)	1		
Period of		34.85	46.22	63.07			
Exposure (t)Mean		(33.60)	(41.38)	(55.09)			
	5). Treatment(T).	1.03. Concer		· Period of ever	$\int (t) \cdot 0.50 \cdot T_{\vee}(t)$	C: 1.79; T×t: 1.79;	

Figures in the parentheses are Arc-Sine transformed values

Table.2: Effect of culture filtrate of some bacterial bioagent on hatching of Meloidogyne incognita race 2.

Treatment	Culture	Period of exposure(days)			Treatment	Culture filtrate
	filtrate	5	10	15	Mean	concentration
	concentration					Mean
	(%)					
Bacillus sp.	S/64	32(34.43)	38(38.04)	52(46.14)	35.33	52.25(46.61)
	S/16	26(30.65)	34(35.66)	44(41.54)	(36.32)	48.70(44.35)
	S/4	22(27.94)	32(34.43)	38(38.04)		45.74(42.47)
B.brevis	S/64	39(38.63)	48(43.85)	56(48.44)	43.11	
	S/16	30(33.19)	45(42.70)	52(46.14)	(41.01)	

International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB)

http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/4.1.9

	S/4	28(31.93)	40(39.22)	50(44.99)		
B.thuringiensis	S/64	22(27.95)	30(33.19)	38(38.04)	26.55	
	S/16	18(25.07)	26(30.64)	36(36.86)	(30.77)	
	S/4	15(22.71)	22(27.95)	32(34.43)		
Pseudomonas sp.	S/64	30(33.19)	40(39.22)	48(43.85)	37.77	
	S/16	36(36.86)	38(38.04)	44(41.55)	(37.87)	
	S/4	32(34.43)	32(34.43)	40(39.22)		
P.florescence	S/64	34(35.66)	42(40.39)	50(44.99)	36.66	
	S/16	24(29.32)	38(38.04)	46(42.70)	(37.09)	
	S/4	22(27.95)	30(33.19)	44(41.55)		
P.aeruginosa	S/64	34(35.66)	44(41.54)	50(44.99)	38.66	
	S/16	28(31.93)	38(38.03)	48(43.85)	(38.32)	
	S/4	24(29.31)	36(36.85)	46(42.70)		
Culture	S/64	64(53.12)	78(62.03)	82(64.91)	72.33	
media(NA)	S/16	63(52.53)	74(59.35)	80(63.44)	(58.47)	
	S/4	60(50.76)	72(58.05)	78(62.03)		
Culture	S/64	67(54.93)	78(62.03)	82(64.93)	73.55	
media(KB)	S/16	64(53.12)	76(60.66)	80(63.44)	(59.24)	
	S/4	62(51.94)	75(60.00)	78(62.03)		
Distilled water	S	70(59.02)	79(62.72)	84(66.49)	76.11	
	S	67(54.93)	78(62.16)	82(64.91)	(61.19)	
	S	67(54.95)	78(62.03)	80(63.44)		
Period of			1			
Exposure		40.00	49.66	57.03		
(t)Mean		(38.97)	(44.98)	(49.47)		
CV=3.33,CD(P=0.05)): Treatment	(T):0.78; Concen	tration(C):0.45; Pe	eriod of exposure	(t): 0.45;T×C: 1.35; T×t:	1.35;
C×t:0.78;T×C×t : 2.3	4					

Figures in the parentheses are Arc-Sine transformed values